Pb-Free Selective Wave Solder Guidelines for Thermally Challenging PCBs

Ramon Mendez¹, Helen Lowe², Ismael Marin¹, Celestica Monterrey¹, Mexico

Celestica Toronto², Canada

ABSTRACT

As the use of lead-free alloys has increased in electronic assemblies, much work has been done to develop Design for Manufacturability (DFM) guidelines for the new materials. However, there are still some challenges remaining with wave solder, which is a complex process with many interacting factors. One such challenge is achieving good Pin Through Hole (PTH) barrel fill on thicker PCBs, particularly for power/ground pins connected to multiple plane layers. One important factor in the selective wave solder process is the size of the selective pallet opening around the PTH pins. It has been observed that larger pallet openings generally provide better barrel fill than smaller ones, but further research is needed to determine the recommended pallet opening for more thermally challenging product designs. The recommended pallet opening can then be used to determine DFM guidelines for the component keep out from the PTH pins on the solder side of the board.

This paper presents the outcome of a study done with a thick, thermally challenging test vehicle wave soldered using a wide range of selective pallet opening sizes. The test vehicle is 3.05mm (0.120") thick with twenty copper layers, including ten plane layers, and is populated with several PTH component types. Other design variables include pin to hole clearance, and quantity of plane layers connected to each pin. The PCBs were assembled with a Pb-free alloy (Sn-Ag-Cu) and also SnPb as a baseline. In the first part of the investigation, a Design of Experiment was performed to optimize the wave solder process parameters and in the second phase, the optimized process parameters were held constant to focus on varying the pallet opening size only. The results for the various pallet opening sizes and their interaction with the other design factors will be discussed.

INTRODUCTION

During the early stages of the product life cycle, Design for Manufacturability (DFM) plays an important role in improving the manufacturability of the product, yields, and cost margins ^[1]. By considering the impact on manufacturing before the design is finalized, the often-competing objectives from design and manufacturing can be addressed and optimal decisions made in a cost-effective manner. After the design is 'locked in', changes become increasingly difficult and costly to implement.

DFM guidelines must evolve over time to address changes in assembly technology, as well as changes in product design requirements. In the case of wave solder, the transition from tin-lead (SnPb) to lead-free (Pb-free) solder has necessitated a re-evaluation of the associated DFM guidelines. At the same time, product functionality requirements in some sectors are driving increased layer counts, increased quantity of plane layers, and increased PCB thickness.

Wave soldering is a complex process involving many variables and design factors and their interactions ^[2]. Previous work has documented the challenges associated with transitioning from SnPb to Pb-free wave soldering, driven by differences in material properties of the alloys ^[3]. These challenges are exacerbated by increasing PCB thickness and thermal mass. For board designs that require double-sided surface mount technology (SMT) in addition to numerous pin through hole (PTH) components, selective wave soldering is often used to attach the PTH components. The selective wave solder process uses a product-specific pallet to shield the SMT components on the bottom side of the board, with apertures to expose the PTH components' pins to the wave solder. The distance from the PTH pins to the pallet aperture wall has been shown to have a strong effect on the assembly yield of the PTH components: reducing the pallet aperture size reduces the PTH barrel fill and yield ^[4]. The pallet aperture size in turn restricts the location of the SMT components to be shielded. The goal is to establish DFM guidelines for pallet apertures that will produce acceptable assembly results without unduly restricting the design layout.

This paper focuses on the effect of selective wave solder pallet aperture size on PTH barrel fill, on a relatively thick test vehicle with a large quantity of plane layers. The test vehicle was chosen to represent a realistic but challenging product design for Pb-free selective wave solder. The effect of selective pallet aperture size on a variety of component types was studied, as well as the interaction between aperture size and other design features.

Test vehicles were assembled with both tin-silver-copper (SAC) solder and SnPb solder, in order to better understand the impact of switching to Pb-free SAC solder for thick, thermally challenging boards. The results with a selective pallet

were also compared to those with a fully open pallet. This was done to illustrate the theoretical 'best case' condition for selective pallet opening size, and to gauge whether further work with larger selective pallet openings might provide any further improvement in assembly yield.

TEST VEHICLE

The test vehicle used was a 280mm x 404 mm (11" x 16") board, 3.00mm (0.120") thick, with a total of 20 layers, representative of higher complexity, thermally challenging product types such as server, industrial and telecommunication products ^[5]. The PTH components selected for the test vehicle were based on common ly used components assembled on telecom boards (Table 1). An existing test vehicle design was modified for use in this study, and a number of existing locations were left unpopulated. An assembled board is shown in Figure 1. The board finish was OSP HT and the laminate was TUC622LE. There were a total of 10 plane layers, 8 planes of 10z copper and 2 planes of 20z copper. The board stack up is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 1. Test vehicle with components



Table1. Com	ponents a	issemble	a
Connector/Component	Vendor	Oty per Board	Reference Designators
Berg Sticks (2 x 40)	Тусо	2	H2, H4
Power Connectors (right angle)	Molex	1	JI
PCI Connector	Foxconn	1	P2
DIMM PTH Connectors (DDR3)	Foxconn	4	D1, D4, D5, D6
D-SHELL Connector2	Foxconn	1	J4
ECAP Connector Organic (6.3mm)	Sanyo	2	C3, C7
ECAP Connector Organic (12.5mm)	United Chemicon	2	C19, C20
Gold Cap	Cornell Dubilier	2	G1, G2
DC/DC Converter	Emerson	1	PM1

Table1. Components assembled

Figure 2 . Board stack-up

The quantity of ground layers connected to the ground pins varied among the assembled components, and was intended to simulate representative connections for products. See Figure 3 and Table 2 below for the ground connection details. Figure 3 also shows the travel direction of the board.



Figure 3. Component layout

Ref Des	Component	Quantity of Signal Pins	Quantity of Ground Pins	Quantity of Ground Connections
D1	DIMM Connector	160	80	4 ground layers, 4 oz
D4	DIMM Connector	160	80	6 ground layers, 6 oz
D5	DIMM Connector	160	80	6 ground layers, 6 oz
D6	DIMM Connector	160	80	6 ground layers, 6 oz
H2	Header Connector	40	40	4 ground layers, 4 oz
H4	Header Connector	40	40	6 ground layers, 6 oz
C19	E-Cap 12.5 mm	1	1	6 ground layers, 6 oz
C20	E-Cap 12.5 mm	1	1	4 ground layers, 4 oz
C3	E-Cap 6.3 mm	1	1	10 ground layers, 12 oz
C7	E-Cap 6.3 mm	1	1	10 ground layers, 12 oz
G1	Golden Cap	1	1	4 ground layers, 4 oz
G2	Golden Cap	1	1	6 ground layers, 6 oz
PM1	DC/DC Converter	3	5	4 ground layers, 4 oz
P2	PCI Connector	200	0	Only Signal pins
J1	Power Connector	7	3	10 ground layers, 12 oz
J4	D-Shell Conn 2	10	5	10 ground layers, 12 oz

Table 2. Quantity of ground connections by component

Previous experiments have shown the effect of the pin to hole clearance on the barrel fill, where small clearances provide lower barrel fill and therefore increase the quantity of defects [1] [6]. The hole sizes used for each component and the corresponding pin to hole clearances are shown in Table 3.

 Table 3. Clearance between pin and barrel wall

Component type	Ref Des	Pin size (mm)	Hole size (mm)	Pin to hole clearance (mm)
DIMM conn	D1, D4, D5, D6	0.46	0.71	0.25
2x40 Header conn	H2, H4	0.89	1.39	0.5
PCI Conn	P2	0.46	0.58 - 1.22	0.13-0.76
E-cap 12.5mm	C19, C20	0.60	1.35	0.75
E-cap 6.3mm	C3, C7	0.45	1.01	0.56
Gold cap	G1, G2	1.14	1.65	0.5
DC/DC	PM1	0.94	1.32	0.38
D-Shell Conn 2	J4	0.86	1.29	0.43
Power conn	J1	0.89	1.01	0.13

INSPECTION STRATEGY

A laminography X-ray inspection machine was used to measure the barrel fill percentage after assembly. The pass/fail criteria used to identify barrel fill defects was based on the requirements in IPC-A-610E Acceptability of Electronic Assemblies. For signal pins, a minimum of 75% barrel fill is required. For ground pins, the latest revision of IPC-A-610 changes the minimum barrel fill requirement for Class 2 from 50% of board thickness to a minimum of 50% or 1.19 mm (0.047") whichever is less. For the test vehicle in this study, this equates to approximately 40% barrel fill. Two X-ray programs were developed for use in this study. A commonly used 10 slice program was used to determine the percent barrel fill, with 10% resolution (Figure 4). The 40% measurement for ground pins was provided by the 10 slice program. A separate program with a slice at 75% of the barrel depth was developed to measure the quantity of failures for signal pins.



Figure 4. X-ray inspection program: 10 slices

To validate the results provided by the laminography X-ray machine, cross-sections for 3 references designators were performed and the percentage of barrel fill was measured. Figures 5, 6 and 7 below show the comparison between the cross-sections and the results from X-ray inspection. These results confirmed the prior experience that X-ray inspection can provide a robust and non-destructive method of measuring PTH barrel fill.

5					-	-		_	-					
Comp	Pin	Slice	Gray level	Pass/Fail	-					Comp	Pin	Slice	Gray level	Pass/Fail
C20	1	1	181.2	PASS	3	F		I	16-	C20	2	1	177.85	PASS
C20	1	2	179.54	PASS	-					C20	2	2	177.38	PASS
C20	1	3	172.89	PASS	a	40.97	<u> </u>	70%		C20	2	3	177.02	PASS
C20	1	4	157.23	PASS	Τ.		-			C20	2	4	177.72	PASS
C20	1	5	127.34	FAIL						C20	2	5	175.12	PASS
C20	1	6	88.92	FAIL	1					C20	2	6	170.17	PASS
C20	1	7	49.99	FAIL	-					C20	2	7	157.54	PASS
C20	1	8	27.67	FAIL						C20	2	8	133.22	FAIL
C20	1	9	34.16	FAIL						C20	2	9	106.51	FAIL
C20	1	10	18.85	FAIL	P	IN 1		PI	n 2	C20	2	10	83.52	FAIL

Figure 5. X-ray program validation for component C20

Comp	Pin	Slice	Gray level	Pass/Fail]		Comp	Pin	Slice	Gray level	Pass/Fail
D5	239	1	166.33	PASS		00%		5046	D5	237	1	169.39	PASS
D5	239	2	168.52	PASS					D5	237	2	171.12	PASS
D5	239	3	167.36	PASS					D5	237	3	167.86	PASS
D5	239	4	166.92	PASS		=		=	D5	237	4	160.95	PASS
D5	239	5	166.06	PASS				_	D5	237	5	142.11	PASS
D5	239	6	167.38	PASS				_	D5	237	6	100.28	FAIL
D5	239	7	166.83	PASS					D5	237	7	56.04	FAIL
D5	239	8	162.7	PASS	18 1				D5	237	8	33.91	FAIL
D5	239	9	153.99	PASS					D5	237	9	31.28	FAIL
D5	239	10	139.33	PASS	Pin 2	39	Pin 2	37	D5	237	10	43.03	FAIL

Figure 6. X-ray program validation for component D5

Comp	Din	Slice	Gray Joyal	Dage/Eail	-		-		Comp	Pin	Slice	Gray level	Pass/Fail
Comp	70	Silce	170.16	Fass/Fall	-				H4	77	1	170.38	PASS
114	73		172.15	PASS	_	5005	-	com:	H4	77	2	173.17	PASS
H4	79	2	174.38	PASS	-	20.40 -		00150	H4	77	3	173.78	PASS
H4	79	3	171.32	PASS	-				Н4	77	4	171.25	PASS
H4	79	4	165.51	PASS		and the second second	100	-	LI4	77		164.70	DACC
H4	79	5	152.73	PASS				1999	114	77	5	104.73	PACC
H4	79	6	127.74	FAIL	3			10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	H4	11	6	151.8	PASS
H4	79	7	102.93	FAIL	- 2		CE C		H4	-77	7	129.52	FAIL
H4	79	8	75.38	FAIL		Atom -			H4	77	8	102.67	FAIL
H4	79	9	63.82	FAIL	-		Din-	77	H4	77	9	82.09	FAIL
H4	79	10	53.84	FAIL		2in 79	- Pin		H4	77	10	60.13	FAIL

Figure 7. X-ray program validation for component H4

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Pb-free

Prior to the assembly of the test vehicle with the different pallet openings, the process parameters were defined through a separate experimental procedure. The DOE variables and constant factors are outlined in Table 4. X-ray inspection with the 10 slice program was used to analyze the results. The best barrel fill results were achieved with a long contact time and high preheat temperature, which were then used in the main experiment for all the boards assembled.

Factors	Levels			
Preheat Temperature (°C)	Low	<u>High</u>		
Contact Time (sec)	Low	<u>High</u>		
Pot Temperature (°C)	Constant at High			
Amount of Flux	Constan	t at High		
Wave atmosphere	Nitro	ogen		
Chip Wave	C	ff		
Flux Type	VOC, alcohol based			

 Table 4. Pb-free process optimization

Eight different pallets were used for the main Pb-free experiment. Each pallet had a different distance from the selective aperture wall to the nearest PTH pad, and the same pallet wall clearance from the PTH pads was used for each selective aperture in the pallet. The thickness of all pallets was 8 mm (0.315").

Each pallet opening size requires a corresponding SMT component keepout from the PTH pads. The additional distance provides space for the pallet wall to contact and seal to the PCB surface, and minimizes the risk of damaging the shielded SMT components when the board is placed into the pallet. Figure 8 shows an example of a pallet with 2.54 mm (0.100") opening size, and the corresponding component keepout required.



Figure 8. 2.54mm (0.100") pallet opening

The eight pallet opening sizes and the corresponding component keepouts for the Pb-free experiment are shown in Table 5. Five replicates were assembled for each of the eight pallet designs.

Table 5. Pallet opening sizes for Pb-free										
* Pallet opening	1.27 mm	2.54 mm	3.81 mm	5.08 mm	6.35 mm	7.62mm	8.89 mm	10.16 mm		
	(0.050'')	(0.100")	(0.150")	(0.200")	(0.250")	(0.300'')	(0.350")	(0.400")		
Component keepout	3.81 mm	5.08 mm	6.35 mm	7.62 mm	8.89 mm	10.16 mm	11.43 mm	12.7mm		
from PTH pads	(0.150'')	(0.200")	(0.250")	(0.300")	(0.350")	(0.400")	(0.450")	(0.500")		
*Distance from the outer PTH pads to the pallet wall										

In addition, 3 boards were assembled with a fully open wave solder pallet. Use of an open wave solder pallet is clearly not a realistic production option for higher complexity products with advanced SMT packages on the backside. These boards were included in the experiment to provide a benchmark under optimal pallet aperture conditions.

SnPb

Prior to the assembly of the test vehicle with the different pallet openings, the process parameters were defined through a separate experimental procedure. The DOE variables and constant factors are outlined in Table 6. X-ray inspection with the 10 slice program was used to analyze the results. The best barrel fill results were achieved with a long contact time and high preheat temperature, which were then used in the main experiment for all the boards assembled.

Factors	Levels			
Preheat Temperature (°C)	Low	<u>High</u>		
Contact Time (sec)	Low	<u>High</u>		
Pot Temperature (°C)	Constant at High			
Amount of Flux	Constant	t at High		
Wave atmosphere	Nitro	gen		
Chip Wave	Off			
Flux Type	VOC-free, w	vater based		

 Table 6. SnPb process optimization

Four different pallets were used for the main SnPb experiment. As with the main Pb-free experiment, each pallet had a different distance from the selective aperture wall to the nearest PTH pad, and the same pallet wall clearance from the PTH pads was used for each selective aperture in the pallet. The thickness of all pallets was 8 mm (0.315"), the same as was used in the Pb-free experiment.

The four pallet opening sizes and the corresponding component keepouts for the SnPb experiment are shown in Table 7. In this experiment, three replicates were assembled for each of the four pallet designs.

Table 7. Pa	llet opening size	s for SnPb		
* Pallet opening	1.27 mm	2.54 mm	3.81 mm	5.08 mm
	(0.050")	(0.100")	(0.150")	(0.200")
Component Keepout from PTH pads	3.81 mm	5.08 mm	6.35 mm	7.62 mm
	(0.150")	(0.200")	(0.250")	(0.300")
* Distance from the outer PTH pads to the	pallet wall			

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of DIMM connectors: Pb-free solder

The DIMM connectors were analyzed with a General linear model of two factors, the pallet opening size and the component reference designator. Each reference designator was considered separately as each has a different set of attributes, including quantity of ground planes connected to the ground pins, orientation relative to the wave solder, and location on the board relative to the leading end of the board. Barrel fill defects were used for the analysis of the results, with barrel fill lower than 40% considered a defect for ground pins and lower than 75% considered a defect for signal pins. The ANOVA results of the model are shown in Figure 9. A P-value less than 0.05 indicates that the factor has statistical effect at the 95% confidence level. The statistical results indicate that the pallet opening and the component attributes both have a significant impact on the barrel fill defects.

Factor Type	Level:	8 Values				
Pallet Opening random	6	3 50,10	0, 150, 2	00, 250,	300, 3	50, 400
Component random	4	4 D1, D4	, D5, D6			
Analysis of Variance for	Defe	ts, usin:	g Adjuste	d SS for	Tests	
Source	DF	Seq SS	Adj SS	Adj MS	F	P
Pallet Opening	7	64304.5	64304.5	9186 A	23 60	
				2100.4	20.02	0.000
Component	3	11963.3	11963.3	3987.8	10.28	0.000 0.000
Component Pallet Opening*Component	3 21	11963.3 8143.7	11963.3 8143.7	3987.8 387.8	10.28	0.000
Component Pallet Opening*Component Error	3 21 0	11963.3 8143.7 *	11963.3 8143.7 *	3987.8 387.8 *	10.28 **	0.000

Figure 9. ANOVA statistical results

The main effect plots from the analysis (Figure 10) illustrates that there is significant difference among the pallet openings. The smallest pallet opening of 1.27mm (0.050") provided by far the highest quantity of defects, with an inverse trend of decreasing defects as the pallet opening increased, up to a pallet opening of 7.62 mm (0.300"). Among the largest pallet openings in the experiment (7.62 mm to 10.16 mm), there was no difference in the defect rates.

In the main effect plot for components (Figure 10), D1 had the lowest quantity of defects among the DIMMs, and was found to be statistically different from them. This was attributed to the favorable combination of factors present at that component location: orientation perpendicular to the solder wave, and the lowest quantity of plane connections per ground pin (four planes). These factors have been shown to have a beneficial impact on barrel fill ^[5]. D1 and D4 showed a small but statistically significant difference in defects. Both DIMMs were oriented perpendicular to the solder wave and contacted the wave at the same time. Therefore, the difference in defects was attributed to the quantity of ground layers connected: D1 had four plane layers connected, and D4 had six plane layers connected. This is also consistent with prior work ^{[5].}



Figure 10. Effect of pallet openings and DIMM component attributes on barnel fill defects

Figure 11. Interaction between pallet opening and DIMM component

Direction of board travel		D1		
	D5 D6			Plane connections
	0 0	D4	D1	4 ground layers, 4 oz
			D4	6 ground layers, 6 oz
₩			D5	6 ground layers, 6 oz
у Ш у			D6	6 ground layers, 6 oz

Figure 12. DIMM layout and direction of board travel

D5 had by far the highest quantity of defects among the DIMMs. It was oriented parallel to the solder wave and was located

at the leading end of the board such that it was the first DIMM to contact the solder wave. Comparing the results for D5 and

D6 illustrates the beneficial effect of heat transfer from a leading component to a trailing neighbor component. Both DIMMs were oriented parallel to the wave, and had the same quantity of planes connected to each ground pin (six). The only difference was the location on the board and therefore the order in which they contacted the wave.

One interesting observation from this study comes from comparing the results for D4 and D6, which were both connected to the same quantity of planes (six). D4 would appear to have a distinct advantage based on its perpendicular orientation to the solder wave, relative to D6 which is oriented parallel to the wave. However, the defect rates of these two DIMMs are not statistically different. D6 benefited greatly from heat transferred to the internal planes by solder flow into the barrels of D5, the leading DIMM. The beneficial effect on D6 of heat transfer from D5 was sufficient to offset the negative impact of parallel orientation to the solder wave.

From Figure 11 and Figure 13, it can be seen that increasing the pallet opening diminished the differences between components. The negative impact on D5 defects due to orientation, plane quantity, and location on the board was not completely eliminated, but it was greatly reduced with larger pallet openings. The difference in defects between D1 and D4 became negligible with the largest pallet openings. This suggests that the negative impact of a few additional ground plane connections can to some degree be offset by using larger pallet openings.



Figure 13. DIMM defects at different pallet opening (Pb-free)

Figure 14 shows the interaction between pallet opening, component, and connection type (signal or ground) on percentage of barrel fill. It can be seen that the ground pins are very sensitive to the pallet opening, with larger openings resulting in improved barrel fill. For signal pins, the size of the pallet opening does not significantly affect the barrel fill, and high barrel fill values can be achieved even with very small pallet openings. This is an important consideration if an average barrel fill value for the component is considered as an experimental response. The consistently high barrel fill for signal pins could mask a beneficial effect of the pallet aperture size on ground pin barrel fill, particularly in cases where the proportion of signal pins to grounds pins is high.



Figure 14. Interaction between pallet opening, component and ground for DIMM connectors in Pb-free

Analysis of DIMM Connectors: Pb-free solder and open pallet

The boards assembled with the open pallet were considered representative of the 'best case' condition for pallet opening. For many product designs, use of an open pallet is unlikely to be a viable option. However, comparing the results of open pallet boards to boards assembled with the largest pallet opening in the experiment (10.16 mm) provides some insight into whether additional improvement might be achieved with pallet openings larger than the ones in this experiment.

In Figure 15, the DPMO for DIMM connectors with a 10.16 mm (0.400") pallet opening and an open pallet are shown. Consistent with the trend seen in Figure 11, DIMM D5 shows a significant reduction in defect rate with the open pallet. Although the other DIMMs also show a reduction, the difference between the large pallet aperture and the open pallet is not statistically significant for them. For the open pallet, the difference in results among all four DIMM locations was also not statistically significant. From these results, it appears that use of an open pallet mitigated the considerable negative impact on D5 of being at the leading end of the board with an orientation parallel to the solder wave. For DIMMs with comparable conditions to D5, pallet openings larger than the ones studied in this experiment may provide additional benefit in terms of defect reduction. For DIMMs in more favorable conditions, such as D1 and D4, pallet openings larger than the ones studied appear to have limited additional benefit.

With the open pallet, the defect rate for the DIMMs as a group was still relatively high. It appears that other improvements must be implemented in order to attain an acceptable assembly yield. In the case of DIMMs, there is typically limited opportunity to increase the pin to hole ratio due to the close spacing of the pins. However, reducing the quantity of plane connections and ensuring proper orientation relative to the wave solder should be considered.



Figure 15. DIMM DPMO open pallet vs 10.16mm (400 mils) pallet in Pb-free

Analysis of DIMM Connectors: SnPb solder

Figure 16 shows the Barrel Fill % for every pin of the 4 DIMM connectors assembled with the four pallet openings used. It can be observed that in general, the barrel fill and the dispersion is similar for the four components with any pallet opening. From Figure 17, it appears that the quantity of defects is lower with larger pallet openings. However, the difference in defects for the different pallet openings was found to be not statistically significant. It was also determined that the quantity of defects was not statistically different among the four DIMM components. These results are quite different from those seen with Pb-free solder. In addition to achieving good results with very small pallet openings, there appears to be little to no negative impact from DIMM orientation relative to the solder wave, or from the location of D5 at the leading end of the board.

This is consistent with previous work that has shown wave soldering with Pb-free solder to be much more sensitive to design variations than soldering with SnPb solder^[4]. In order to achieve acceptable assembly results with Pb-free wave solder, much greater attention must be paid to the product design.



Figure 16. DIMM connector barrel fill results for SnPb



Figure 17. Pallet opening and DIMM connector effect on barrel fill defects

Analysis of Capacitors: Pb-free solder

The main effect plot for pallet opening in Figure 18 illustrates a general reduction in defect rate as the pallet opening was increased through the range of sizes studied in the experiment. The main effect plot for component reference designator highlights the large differences in average defect rate for the capacitor reference designators studied in this experiment. From the interaction plot of pallet opening vs. reference designator in Figure 19, it can be seen that only some of the capacitor locations benefited from increasing the pallet opening and the degree of benefit varied among the component locations.



and component on barrel fill defects

component

The main differences between the various reference designator locations are summarized in Figure 20.

Direction of board travel	СЗ	_о С19				Component	Pin to hole clearance	Plane connections
		61	62		C3	E-cap 6.3mm	0.56mm	10 layers, 12 oz
		6	0		C7	E-cap 6.3mm	0.56mm	10 layers, 12 oz
MM				C20	C19	E-cap 12.5mm	0.75mm	6 layers, 6 oz
				0	C20	E-cap 12.5mm	0.75mm	4 layers, 4 oz
VШV				C7	G1	Gold cap	0.5mm	4 layers, 4 oz
Solder Wave					G2	Gold cap	0.5mm	6 layers, 6 oz

Figure 20. Capacitors layout and direction of board travel

For the 6.5mm electrolytic capacitors (E-caps) with ten planes connected to the ground pin (C3 and C7), increasing the pallet opening within the range studied had no impact on the defect rate. The 12.5mm electrolytic capacitors with six or four planes connected to the ground pin (C19 and C20) showed a gradual improvement as the pallet opening was increased through the experimental range. For the gold caps with four or six planes connected to the ground pin (G1 and G2), zero defects were achieved with pallet openings within the range studied. Comparing the results for gold capacitors G1 and G2, there is a noticeable difference in the smallest pallet aperture size at which optimal results were achieved: 3.81mm (0.150") for G1 (four planes connected), and 7.62 mm (0.300") for G2 (six planes connected).

Recent investigations have shown the negative effect on barrel fill of having a high quantity of ground connections and a small pin to hole clearance ^[1]. For PTH E-Caps it been observed that to get successful barrel fill results in Pb-free, larger clearances between pin and holes are required ^[6].

The results from the current experiment confirm the importance of the quantity of plane connections for Pb-free wave solder on thicker PCBs. If permitted by the design requirements, reducing the quantity of planes connected can provide significant benefits to the Pb-free wave solder yield of PTH E-caps. For a moderate quantity of planes connected (four to six), increasing the pallet opening size can improve the assembly yield. For a large quantity of plane connections (ten), simply increasing the pallet opening size may be of limited benefit.

Analysis of Capacitors: Pb-free solder and open pallet

Figure 21 shows the Defects per Board for electrolytic capacitors C19, C20, C3, and C7. The gold capacitors G1 and G2 produced zero defects for the largest experimental pallet opening, so there was no further benefit observed with the open pallet.

With the open pallet, the defect rate for C19 and C20 was reduced to zero. This suggests that pallet openings larger than the ones studied in this experiment may provide additional benefit in terms of defect reduction, and supports the trend indicated in Figure 19. In contrast, C3 and C7 still produced a relatively high average defect rate with the open pallet. This suggests that although increased pallet openings may provide some improvement for these parts, other improvements, such as increased pin to hole clearance and reduced quantity of plane connections, must be implemented in order to achieve an acceptable assembly yield.



Figure 21. Defect per board for C19, C20, C3 and C7 with 10.16 mm pallet opening and open pallet in Pb-free

Analysis of Capacitors: SnPb solder

The main effect plots for SnPb assembly of the capacitors (Figure 22) indicates that there is significant difference between the pallets, with opening sizes of 3.81mm (0.150") and 5.08 mm (0.200") resulting in much lower rates. From the Component main effect plot, it can be seen that only C3 and C7 had any defects. As with the Pb-free results, the higher defect rates on these components are attributed to the large quantity of ground plane connections (ten) and smaller pin to hole clearance.

Unlike in the Pb-free assembly, C3 and C7 benefit from the use of larger pallet openings, as can be seen in Figure 23. The other capacitors achieved zero defects even at the smallest pallet opening in this study (1.27 mm). This is consistent with the well-established experience that Pb-free wave soldering is much more challenging than SnPb, and more stringent DFM guidelines are needed in order to achieve comparable assembly yields.

Pallet Open

100

150

50





Analysis of PCI connector: Pb-free solder

Figure 23. Interaction between pallet opening and PTH capacitor.

200

Interaction Plot for Defects (SnPb)

Data Means

C7 G1

Component

Pallet ening 50 100 150

Fi

+ G1

For PCI connector P2, six different finished hole sizes (FHS) were used (Figure 24). This component was oriented parallel to the solder wave, as shown in Figure 3.



Figure 24. PCI (P2) connector FHS

Figure 25 shows an interaction plot for pallet opening and hole size on P2. The smaller FHS of 0.58 mm ($0.023^{"}$), 0.71 mm ($0.028^{"}$), and 0.84 mm ($0.033^{"}$) have the stronger interaction with the pallet opening size, and show very high DPMO levels with pallet opening sizes ranging from 1.17 mm to 3.81 mm ($0.050^{"}$ to 0.150"). The larger FHS of 1.09 mm ($0.043^{"}$) and 1.22 mm ($0.048^{"}$) had similar DPMO regardless of pallet opening.

Figure 26 shows the DPMO for pallet openings $5.08 \text{ mm} (0.200^{\circ})$ and larger, to better illustrate the differences among the FHS for the larger pallet openings. Under these conditions, the mid-range FHS of $0.84 \text{ mm} (0.033^{\circ})$ had the best results followed by $0.97 \text{ mm} (0.038^{\circ})$.





Figure 25. Interaction between FHS and pallet opening for P2 in Pb-free

Figure 26. Interaction between FHS and pallet opening for P2 in Pb-free

Analysis of PCI Connector: Pb-free solder and open pallet

Figure 27 shows the DPMO for PCI connector P2. With the open pallet, the defect rate for FHS of 0.73 mm (0.028") and larger provided zero defects. This suggests that pallet openings larger than the ones studied in this experiment may provide additional benefit in terms of defect reduction.



Figure 27. DPMO for P2 with an open pallet

Analysis of PCI connector: SnPb solder

The analysis of the PCI connector assembly with SnPb is shown in Figure 28 below. Only FHS of $0.71 \text{ mm} (0.028^{\circ})$ and $0.97 \text{ mm} (0.038^{\circ})$ produced defects. It was observed that the pins with defects were located at the end of the connector.



Figure 28. DPMO for P2 with SnPb

Analysis of Headers, D-Shell, DC/DC Converter and Power Connector: Pb-free solder

In Figure 29, Headers connectors H2 and H4 showed a small and not statistically significant difference in defects. For pallet opening 7.62 mm (0.300") and larger, the quantity of defects is reduced to acceptable levels. Both headers were oriented perpendicular to the solder wave and contacted the wave at the same time. The difference between them is the quantity of ground layers connected: H2 had four plane layers connected, and H4 had six plane layers connected. For this header type, the additional two ground layers connected appeared to have no impact.

For the D-shell connector J4, optimal results were achieved with 2.54 mm (0.100") or larger pallet opening size. For the DC/DC converter PM1 with 4 ground layers connected, pallet aperture size of 5.08mm (0.200") or larger results in optimal assembly yields.



Figure 29. Defects for H2, H4, J1, J4, PM1

For power connector J1, the number of defects was reduced by increasing the pallet opening size. However, the quantity of defects is still quite high with the largest pallet opening. Likely contributors to the high defect rate are the tight clearance between pin and hole (0.13mm) and the ten layers connected to the ground pins. With the open pallet, the defect rate for J1 was reduced to zero. This suggests that pallet openings larger than the ones studied in this experiment may provide additional benefit in terms of defect reduction.

Analysis of Headers, D-Shell, DC/DC Converter and Power Connector: SnPb solder

For Header connectors (H2 and H4), D-Shell connector (J4), DC/DC Converter (PM1), and Power connector (J1), there was no significant impact seen with SnPb solder due to pallet opening size, and the quantity of defects was very low for all four pallet designs.

CONCLUSIONS

The results from this study confirmed the conclusions from prior work that Pb-free selective wave soldering is much less robust than with SnPb solder, and more sensitive to variations in the product design. Much greater attention must be paid to

design features, such as the component orientation, quantity of plane connections, PTH hole sizes, and SMT keepouts around the PTH pins, in order to achieve acceptable yields on thick, thermally challenging PCBs.

Key findings related to the selective pallet opening for Pb-free wave solder on a thick, complex board:

• In general, increasing the selective pallet opening around the PTH pins is very beneficial with respect to defect reduction.

• The degree of benefit provided by increasing pallet openings is highly dependent on other conditions present, and was seen to vary based on the component type, pin to hole ratio, component orientation, and the quantity of planes connected.

• A selective pallet opening of 7.62 mm (0.300") can produce acceptable assembly results for most components, providing DFM guidelines are being followed with respect to other key factors, e.g. the quantity of plane connections, pin to hole ratio, and use of thermal reliefs. Electrolytic capacitors may require somewhat larger pallet openings to achieve acceptable results.

• If the DFM guidelines for other important factors like plane connections and pin to hole ratio are not followed, simply increasing the pallet opening may not be sufficient to offset the negative effects and achieve acceptable assembly results. This is particularly true for more thermally challenging components like electrolytic capacitors. In order for an increase in pallet opening to be effective in these cases, other changes must also be implemented, for example reducing the quantity of plane connections.

Some interesting observations in addition to the selective pallet opening results:

• Under at least some conditions, heat transfer from a leading component to a trailing neighbor component can be very beneficial to the trailing neighbor. Additional experiments would be needed to better understand this phenomenon and how it might be advantageously applied in production board designs.

• For the PCI connector, the optimal results with larger pallet openings were attained for holes in the middle of the range studied. The reasons for this were not immediately evident, and further study is needed to better understand why larger hole sizes appear to produce more defects than the mid-range ones under this set of conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Javier Aguilar and Jesus Peñaloza for X-Ray machine programming and inspection of the boards, and Luis Alberto Moya for the cross-sections. Special thanks to Chris Goodall and Danny Imbrogno for their help with the test vehicle design modifications. Finally, thanks to John McMahon and Craig Hamilton for their consultation and expertise on this project.

REFERENCES

[1] R Mendez, M Moreno, G Soto, J Herrera, C Hamilton. "Design for Manufacturability in the Lead Free Wave Solder Process", APEX, 2008.

[2] J Nguyen, R Thalhamer, D Geiger, D Rooney, and D Shangguan. "Process And Reliability Study Of Lead-Free Wave Soldering For Large Thick Boards" Corporate Technology Group Flextronics International, SMTA International, 2007.

[3] E. Ferrer, E. Benedetto, G. Freedman, F. Billaut, H. Holder, D. Gonzalez "Reliability of Partially Filled SAC305 Through-Hole Joints", APEX, Anaheim, CA, S29-02, Feb, 5-10, 2006.

[4] M. Boulos, C. Hamilton, M. Moreno, R. Mendez, G. Soto "Selective Wave Soldering DoE to Develop DFM Guidelines for Pb & Pb-free Assemblies" Honeywell Aerospace AME; Celestica Inc. SMTA International 2008.

[5] C. Hamilton, J. McMahon, J. Traya, W. Yong Kang, K. Kok Wei; M. Kelly, M. Cole "High Complexity Lead-Free Wave And Rework: The Effects Of Material, Process And Board Design On Barrel Fill" Celestica Inc.; IBM Corporation, Conference : SMTA International, 2010.

[6] J. Fangkangwanwong, M. Phuhattsuan, T. Hoon Ng "Lead-Free Wave Soldering For Process And Product Design Improvement In SnCu Alloys" Celestica Co., Ltd. SMTA International, 2010.



Pb-free Selective Wave Solder Guidelines for Thermally Challenging PCBs

Ramon Mendez, Helen Lowe, Ismael Marin,

Presented by John McMahon P.Eng



Solid partners. Flexible solutions.



- Motivation & Project Goals
- Test Vehicle Design
- Experimental Design
- Evaluation Methods & Validation
- Selected Pb-free Results
- Key Findings

Roject Goals

- The introduction of Pb-free alloys has exacerbated the issues of reduced hole fill at wave solder and increased Cu dissolution at repair.
- High complexity thick PCBs with many internal Cu layers are the most difficult to solder to the IPC standard hole fill requirement.
- Most studies report that inadequate hole fill can be improved by optimized preheat profiles, higher pot temperatures, longer contact time, and more aggressive fluxes.
- However, these factors are bounded by the thermal tolerance limits of the laminate and components, by cleanliness / chemical reliability and by the additional Cu dissolution associated with these measures.
- The previous study evaluated the effect of PWB design parameters.

TP>

XPO" 2012

- Finished hole size, pin diameter, copper layer connections
- The primary goal of this work is to evaluate the effect of process tooling design parameters which relate to design spacing rules using optimized key process parameters.



Test Vehicle Design

• Partial population of Pipeline TV.

TPS

- 11x16 inches (280x404 mm)
- 0.120 inches thick (3 mm)

IPC

XPO* 2012

- 20 layers / 10 plane layers
- 8x 1oz & 2x 2oz Copper planes



External Layer 1oz Cu foil
Ground Layer 1oz Cu foil
Signal Layer 1oz Cu foil
Ground Layer 2oz Cu foil



APEX 2012 Test Vehicle Design

- Partial population of Pipeline TV.
- 11x16 inches (280x404 mm)
- 0.120 inches thick (3 mm)
- 20 Cu layers / 10 plane layers
- 8x 1oz & 2x 2oz Cu planes

- Spatial Factors
- Orientations
- Distance from leading edge



Experiment Design



• Optimized process parameters for the alloy, flux and wave solder form.

Tp>

XPO" 2012

• Processed Pb-free alloy lots with 8 different selective pallet designs.



*Distance from the outer PTH pads to the pallet wall

5DX X-Ray Inspection Program

Tp



Definition of Barrel Fill - 5DX Program :

Evaluation Method

 $\begin{array}{ll} 0 = 0 - 10\% & 6 = 60 - 70\% \\ 1 = 10 - 20\% & 7 = 70 - 80\% \\ 2 = 20 - 30\% & 8 = 80 - 90\% \\ 3 = 30 - 40\% & 9 = 90 - 100\% \\ 4 = 40 - 50\% & 10 = 100\% \\ 5 = 50 - 60\% \end{array}$

Acceptance criteria :

- IPC-A-610-D: 50% vertical fill of a PTH is permitted for Class 2 products.

- IPC-A-610-E: the minimum permissible vertical fill of a PTH is 50% or

1.19 mm [0.047 in] of wetted length - which is 40% of 120 mils.



 Cross Sections were used to verify output from 5DX algorithm

Comp	Pin	Slice	Gray level	Pass/Fail
H4	79	1	172.15	PASS
H4	79	2	174.38	PASS
H4	79	3	171.32	PASS
H4	79	4	165.51	PASS
H4	79	5	152.73	PASS
H4	79	6	127.74	FAIL
H4	79	7	102.93	FAIL
H4	79	8	75.38	FAIL
H4	79	9	63.82	FAIL
H4	79	10	53.84	FAIL



Comp	Pin	Slice	Gray level	Pass/Fail
H4	77	1	170.38	PASS
H4	77	2	173.17	PASS
H4	77	3	173.78	PASS
H4	77	4	171.25	PASS
H4	77	5	164.79	PASS
H4	77	6	151.8	PASS
H4	77	7	129.52	FAIL
H4	77	8	102.67	FAIL
H4	77	9	82.09	FAIL
H4	77	10	60.13	FAIL

Comp	Pin	Slice	Gray level	Pass/Fail
D5	239	1	166.33	PASS
D5	239	2	168.52	PASS
D5	239	3	167.36	PASS
D5	239	4	166.92	PASS
D5	239	5	166.06	PASS
D5	239	6	167.38	PASS
D5	239	7	166.83	PASS
D5	239	8	162.7	PASS
D5	239	9	153.99	PASS
D5	239	10	139.33	PASS



Comp	Pin	Slice	Gray level	Pass/Fail
D5	237	1	169.39	PASS
D5	237	2	171.12	PASS
D5	237	3	167.86	PASS
D5	237	4	160.95	PASS
D5	237	5	142.11	PASS
D5	237	6	100.28	FAIL
D5	237	7	56.04	FAIL
D5	237	8	33.91	FAIL
D5	237	9	31.28	FAIL
D5	237	10	43.03	FAIL

Comp	Pin	Slice	Gray level	Pass/Fail	_	
C20	1	1	181.2	PASS		
C20	1	2	179.54	PASS	-	1000
C20	1	3	172.89	PASS		40%
C20	1	4	157.23	PASS		
C20	1	5	127.34	FAIL		E
C20	1	6	88.92	FAIL	- 11	
C20	1	7	49.99	FAIL		
C20	1	8	27.67	FAIL		
C20	1	9	34.16	FAIL		1
C20	1	10	18.85	FAIL	Pi	n 1

	Comp	Pin	Slice	Gray level	Pass/Fail
F -	C20	2	1	177.85	PASS
	C20	2	2	177.38	PASS
	C20	2	3	177.02	PASS
	C20	2	4	177.72	PASS
	C20	2	5	175.12	PASS
	C20	2	6	170.17	PASS
	C20	2	7	157.54	PASS
	C20	2	8	133.22	FAIL
	C20	2	9	106.51	FAIL
Pin 2	C20	2	10	83.52	FAIL



- Pallet trend is evident
- Connector little effect
- Total Cu connection is not apparent.
- Orientation and distance from leading edge effects are not significant.



SnPb Ground Pins

No defects on signal pins





APEX 2012 Results - DIMMS (DDR3)

Pb-Free - Ground Pins

No defects on signal pins

- Pallet trends as expected
 - Much stronger influence
- Connector
 - rank order is consistent
- Total Cu connection is apparent.
- Both orientation and distance from leading edge effects are strong.









S

- Fewer Defects Overall
- Pallet step change ???
 - Dominated by C3 & C7
- Capacitors
 - comparisons are much less consistent
- Cu connection mixed with thermal mass is the dominant factor



SnPb Solder Alloy





Results – Capacitors

Pb-free Solder Alloy



- Pallet trends as expected
 - Less Dominant
- Capacitors
 - comparisons are much less consistent

Tp>

- Pin to Hole effect is apparent
- Cu connection mixed with thermal mass
- Distance from leading edge effect exists ???





PCI Connectors

2015

• Pallet trends as expected

127

IPC

X PO

- Less Dominant
- Finished Hole Size (FHS)
 - Step changes are apparent
- Orientation is not advantageous
- This result is consistent with previously published FHS experiment which included this location.





Pallet / FHS Interaction



Observations

- Increasing the selective pallet opening around PTH pins is very beneficial with respect to defect reduction.
- Degree of benefit is highly dependent on other conditions

Tp

PO" 2012

- component type / pin to hole ratio / component orientation / connected plane layers.
- An opening of 7.62 mm (0.300") can produce results for most components, provided key Factors comply with DFM guidelines but high IO connectors can use smaller openings.
- Under some conditions, heat transfer from a leading component to a trailing neighbor component can be very beneficial to the trailing neighbor.
- For the PCI connector, the optimal results with larger pallet openings were attained for holes in the middle of the range studied.





Thank you

John McMahon P.Eng.

Assembly Technology Services

jmcmahon@celestica.com



Solid partners. Flexible solutions.