

Voiding: Contrary Effects of Voiding

2013

APEX Conference & Exhibition, San Diego

February 20th, 2013 Dr. Hans Bell, Helmut Öttl, Dr. Heinz Wohlrabe

Contrary effects - BGA versus BTC solder joints

- BTC/area solder joints require good wetting to achieve low voiding BGA solder joints show better results with bad wetting
- BTC/area solder joints usually have undefined void shapes BGA solder joints usually have spherical pores











Void shape

Influence of roughness on the wetting angle Θ





Voiding

Influence of solder paste and wetting

Solder spreading





Voiding

Influence of wetting

Copper contact, SAC solder

As delivered, no cleaning



Chemical cleaning before soldering



Voiding

Influence of solder paste and wetting

2013

Contrary effects, BGA vs. Si-Chip solder joints



Source: Heinz Wohlrabe, VoidExpert Database, 2013



Influence of alloy

SAC solder paste, BGA: SnPb balls vs. SAC balls



Source: Heinz Wohlrabe, VoidExpert Database, 2013

Voiding

Influence of surface finish and wetting

2013

Contrary effects, BGA vs. QFN solder joints



Source: Heinz Wohlrabe, VoidExpert Database, 2013

Voiding

Influence of peak temperature

2013

Contrary effects, BGA vs. Si chip soldering joints



BGA 196



Source: Heinz Wohlrabe, VoidExpert Database, 2013



Influence of geometry

2013

BGA solder joint, geometric conditions Total solder volume: 0,065 mm³



Voiding

Influence of geometry

0,345

After ball collapse (~ 110 µm)

2013

Twisting/warpage of BGA solder joints





After warpage of 180 µm



Influence of geometry

Twisting/warpage of BGA solder joints



Voiding

Chip versus BGA solder joints, geometric conditions







Influence of of gap thickness (solder paste thickness)

Si-Chip, paste: SnAgCu, vacuum condensation soldering



2013

Paste thickness: 125 µm



Paste thickness: 180 µm

Voiding

Bubbles' rate of ascent

2013

Calculated according to viscosity data from Fraunhofer IZM Berlin







Influence of vacuum (low pressure level)

No significant improvement during longer vacuum dwell time 0,23 % voids



2013

10 mbar @ 10 s



100 mbar @ 100 s



Thank you!

Thanks to our colleagues of the working group:

- Dr. Norbert Holle, Robert Bosch GmbH, Schwieberdingen
- Mathias Hutter, Fraunhofer IZM, Berlin
- Bernhard Lange, Texas Instruments Deutschland GmbH, Freising
- Thomas Lauer, Cassidian Electronics EADS Deutschland GmbH, Ulm
- Ulrich Niklas, Zollner AG, Zandt

- Prof. Mathias Nowottnick, Universität Rostock
- Dr. Max Poech, Fraunhofer ISIT, Itzehoe
- Karl-Heinz Schaller, Siemens AG, Amberg
- Jörg Trodler, W.C. Heraeus GmbH, Hanau
- Dr.-Ing. habil. Heinz Wohlrabe, Technische Universität Dresden