

IPC-J-STD-004D

Requirements for Soldering Fluxes

If a conflict occurs between the English language and translated versions of this document, the English version will take precedence.

Developed by the 5-24A Flux Specifications Task Group of the Assembly and Joining General Committee 5-20 of IPC

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC 3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 105N Bannockburn, Illinois 60015-1249 Tel 847 615.7100 Fax 847 615.7105

Supersedes:

IPC-J-STD-004C WAM1 February 2023

Table of Contents

| 1 SCOPE | 1 | 2.4.34.4 | Paste Flux Viscosity - T-Bar Spindle Method3 |
|------------|---|-----------|---|
| 1.1 | Purpose 1 | 2.6.1 | Fungus Resistance Printed Wiring Materials 3 |
| 1.2 | Classification1 | 2.6.3.3 | Surface Insulation Resistance (SIR), Fluxes 3 |
| 1.3 | Measurement Units 1 | 2.6.3.7 | Surface Insulation Resistance |
| 1.4 | Definition of Requirements1 | 2.6.14.1 | Electrochemical Migration Resistance Test 3 |
| 1.5 | Process Control Requirements1 | 2.6.15 | Corrosion, Flux3 |
| 1.6 | Order of Precedence2 | 2.2 | Joint Industry Standards3 |
| 1.6.1 | Conflict2 | 2.2.1 | J-STD-0013 |
| 1.6.2 | Clause References | 2.2.2 | J-STD-0034 |
| 1.6.3 | Appendices2 | 2.2.3 | J-STD-0054 |
| 1.7 | Use of "Lead"2 | 2.2.4 | J-STD-0064 |
| 1.8 | Abbreviations and Acronyms2 | 2.3 | American Society for Testing and Materials |
| 1.8.1 | ECM2 | | (ASTM)4 |
| 1.8.2 | SIR2 | 2.3.1 | ASTM D-465-15 4 |
| 1.9 | Terms and Definitions2 | 2.4 | British Standards4 |
| 1.9.1 | Halide2 | 2.4.1 | EN 145824 |
| 1.9.2 | Halogen2 | 2.5 | International Organization for Standards 4 |
| 1.9.3 | Low Halogen Flux (Cl and Br)2 | 2.5.1 | ISO 9001-20004 |
| 1.9.4 | Flux Composition3 | 2.6 | National Conference of Standards |
| 1.9.5 | Supplier3 | | Laboratories (NCSL)4 |
| 2 APPLIC | ABLE DOCUMENTS3 | 2.6.1 | ANSI-NCSL-Z540-14 |
| 2.1 | IPC | 3 GENERAL | REQUIREMENTS4 |
| IPC-T-50 | Terms and Definitions for Interconnecting | 3.1 | Designation4 |
| 11 0 1 30 | and Packaging Electronic Circuits | 3.2 | Flux Qualification5 |
| IPC-9191 | General Guidelines for the Implementation of | 3.2.1 | Classification6 |
| | Statistical Process Control | 3.2.1.1 | Flux Composition6 |
| IPC-TM-650 | Test Methods Manual | 3.2.1.2 | Flux Type6 |
| 2.3.13 | Determination of Acid Value of Liquid Solder | 3.2.1.2.1 | Flux Activity6 |
| | Flux - Potentiometric and Visual Titration | 3.2.1.2.2 | Halide Content6 |
| | Methods | 3.2.2 | Characterization7 |
| 2.3.28.1 | Halide Content of Soldering Fluxes and | 3.3.1 | Classification Testing7 |
| | Pastes | 3.3.1.1 | Copper Mirror Test7 |
| 2.3.32 | Flux Induced Corrosion (Copper Mirror | 3.3.1.2 | Corrosion Test |
| | Method) | 3.3.1.3 | Quantitative Halide Content Tests 8 |
| 2.3.33 | Presence of Halides in Flux, Silver Chromate | 3.3.1.4 | SIR Test 8 |
| 2224 | Method | 3.3.1.4.2 | SIR Test Criteria8 |
| 2.3.34 | Solids Content, Flux | 3.3.1.5 | Resistance to ECM Testing9 |
| 2.3.35.1 | Fluorides by Spot Test, Fluxes - Qualitative. 3 | 3.3.1.5.1 | Reporting ECM Test Results9 |
| 2.4.14.2 | Liquid Flux Activity, Wetting Balance Method | 3.3.2 | Characterization Testing9 |
| | 1,100100J | | - |

IPC-J-STD-004D December 2023

| 3.3.2.1 | Flux Solids (Non-volatile) Determination 9 | 4.1.1.1 | Quality Assurance Program11 |
|----------------|--|---------|--|
| 3.3.2.2 | Acid Value Determination9 | 4.1.2 | Test Equipment and Inspection Facilities11 |
| 3.3.2.3 | Specific Gravity Determination9 | 4.1.3 | Inspection Conditions11 |
| 3.3.2.4 | Viscosity of Paste (Tacky) Flux9 | 4.2 | Types of Inspections11 |
| 3.3.2.5 | Visual9 | 4.3 | Qualification Inspection12 |
| 3.4 | Optional Testing9 | 4.3.1 | Sample Size 12 |
| 3.4.1 | Optional Qualitative Halide Tests9 | 4.3.2 | Inspection Routine12 |
| 3.4.1.1 | Optional Chlorides and Bromides by Silver | 4.3.3 | Requalification12 |
| | Chromate Method9 | 4.3.3.1 | Formula Variations Constituting Material |
| 3.4.1.2 | Optional Fluorides By Spot Test9 | | Change |
| 3.4.2 | Optional SIR Tests10 | 4.3.3.2 | Manufacturing Site Change12 |
| 3.4.2.1 | Reporting Values for Optional SIR Test | 4.4 | Quality Conformance Inspection12 |
| | Methods10 | 4.4.1 | Sampling Plan12 |
| 3.4.3 | Optional Fungus Resistance Test10 | 4.4.2 | Rejected Lots |
| 3.4.4 | Optional Halogen Content Test10 | 4.5 | Performance Inspection12 |
| 3.4.5 | Optional Resistance to Creep Corrosion | 4.6 | Statistical Process Control (SPC)12 |
| | Testing | B-1 | Cleaning and Test Methods15 |
| 3.5 | Quality Conformance Testing10 | B-2 | Ordering Data15 |
| 3.5.1 | Acid Value Determination10 | B-3 | Formic Acid |
| 3.5.2 | Specific Gravity Determination10 | B-4 | Wetting Balance Test Guidance15 |
| 3.5.3 | Viscosity of Paste (Tacky) Flux 10 | B-5 | Extension of Shelf Life for Liquid Flux 16 |
| 3.5.4 | Visual | B-6 | REACH16 |
| 3.6 | Performance Testing | B-7 | Halide versus Halogen Content16 |
| 3.6.1 | Wetting Balance Test10 | B-8 | Restriction of Hazardous Substances |
| 4 QUA | LIFICATION AND QUALITY ASSURANCE | | (RoHS)16 |
| PROVISIONS 1 0 | | B-9 | SIR Testing: Chamber conditions' effect |
| 4.1 | Responsibility for Inspection11 | | on SIR results |
| 4.1.1 | Responsibility for Compliance 11 | | |

December 2023 IPC-J-STD-004D

| | Figures | | Tables |
|-------------|---|---|---|
| Figure 3-1 | Flux Corrosivity by Copper Mirror Test 7 | Table 3-1 | Flux Identification System and |
| Figure 3-2 | Example of No Corrosion8 | | Test Requirements for Flux Classification 5 |
| Figure 3-3 | Example of Minor Corrosion8 | Table 3-2 | Preparation of Flux Forms for Testing 6 |
| Figure 3-4 | Example of Major Corrosion8 | Table 3-3. | Quantitative Halide and Activity |
| Figure B-1 | Typical Wetting Balance Curve15 | | Classification7 |
| Figure D-1A | | Table 3-4 | Halogen Content in Low Halogen |
| and D-1B | ImmAg board from a consumer product after | | Materials |
| | 5 days Mixed Flowing Gas exposure [1] 18 | Table 4-1 | Qualification, Quality Conformance and |
| | | | Performance Testing for Flux11 |
| | | Required Cleaning Information if the Flux was Removed | |
| | | | |
| | | Table D-1. | Comparison Between MFG and FoS Tests |
| | | | for Creep Corrosion |

December 2023 IPC-J-STD-004D

IPC-J-STD-004D Requirements for Soldering Fluxes

1 SCOPE

This standard prescribes general requirements for the classification and characterization of fluxes for high quality solder interconnections. This standard may be used for quality control and procurement purposes.

1.1 Purpose The purpose of this standard is to classify and characterize Sn/Pb and Pb-free soldering flux materials for use in electronic metallurgical interconnections for printed board assembly. Soldering flux materials include the following: liquid flux, paste flux, solder paste, solder cream as well as flux-coated and flux-cored solder wires and preforms. The fluxes involved relate to all aspects of application, such as: printed board fabrication, lead tinning, wave soldering, reflow and rework. Fluxes covered by this standard are intended for use in various applications in industry. It is not the intent of this standard to exclude any acceptable flux or soldering material; however, these materials must produce the desired electrical and metallurgical interconnection.

1.2 Classification

CLASS 1 General Electronic Products Includes products suitable for applications where the major requirement is function of the completed assembly.

CLASS 2 Dedicated Service Electronic Products Includes products where continued performance and extended life is required, and for which uninterrupted service is desired but not critical. Typically, the end-use environment would not cause failures.

CLASS 3 High Performance/Harsh Environment Electronic Products Includes products where continued high performance or performance-on-demand is critical, equipment downtime cannot be tolerated, end-use environment may be uncommonly harsh, and the equipment must function when required, such as life support or other critical systems.

- **1.3 Measurement Units** All dimensions and tolerances in this specification are expressed in hard SI (metric) units and bracketed soft imperial [inch] units. Users of this specification are expected to use metric dimensions. All dimensions ≥ 1 mm [0.0394 in] will be expressed in millimeters and inches. All dimensions ≤ 1 mm [0.0394 in] will be expressed in micrometers and microinches.
- **1.4 Definition of Requirements** The words **shall** or **shall not** are used in the text of this document wherever there is a requirement for materials, preparation, process control or acceptance.

The word "should" reflects recommendations and is used to reflect general industry practices and procedures for guidance only. Line drawings and illustrations are depicted herein to assist in the interpretation of the written requirements of this Standard. The text takes precedence over the figures.

1.5 Process Control Requirements The primary goal of process control is to continually reduce variation in the processes, products, or services to provide products or processes meeting or exceeding User requirements. Process control tools such as IPC-9191, JESD557 or other User-approved system may be used as guidelines for implementing process control.

Manufacturers of Class 3 products shall develop and implement a documented process control system.

A documented process control system, if established, shall define process control and corrective action limits.

This may or may not be a statistical process control system. The use of "statistical process control" (SPC) is optional and should be based on factors such as design stability, lot size, production quantities, and the needs of the Manufacturer, see 4.6.

Process control methodologies **shall** be used in the planning, implementation and evaluation of the manufacturing processes used to produce soldered electrical and electronic assemblies. The philosophy, implementation strategies, tools and techniques may be applied in different sequences depending on the specific company, operation, or variable under consideration to relate process control and capability to end product requirements.

When a decision or requirement is to use a documented process control system, failure to implement process corrective action and/or the use of continually ineffective corrective actions would be grounds for disapproval of the process and associated documentation.