



IPC-HDBK-830A

Guidelines for Design, Selection and Application of Conformal Coatings

Developed by the Conformal Coating Handbook Task Group (5-33c) of
the Cleaning and Coating Committee (5-30) of IPC

Users of this standard are encouraged to participate in the
development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309S
Bannockburn, Illinois
60015-1219
Tel 847 615.7100
Fax 847 615.7105

Table of Contents

1	PREFACE	1	3.5	Material Content Declaration (MCD) Regulations	14
1.1	Introduction	1	3.5.1	EU RoHS/WEEE/ELV	14
1.2	Purpose	1	3.5.2	REACH	15
1.3	Scope	1	3.5.3	The ISPM15 Mark	15
1.4	Classification	1	3.5.4	Regulations or Laws in Other Countries	15
1.5	Measurement Units and Applications	2	3.5.5	Material Content Declaration Reporting Systems	16
1.5.1	Verification of Dimensions	2	3.6	Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Reporting	20
1.6	Order of Precedence	2	3.7	Equipment Standards	20
1.6.1	Conflict	2	3.7.1	Factory Mutual	21
1.7	Appendices	2	3.7.2	TÜV SÜD	21
1.8	Terms and Definitions	2	4	CONFORMAL COATING MATERIALS	22
2	APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS	5	4.1	Coating Types	22
2.1	ASTM International Standards	5	4.1.1	AR – Acrylic	22
2.2	Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR)	5	4.1.2	ER – Epoxy	23
2.3	IPC Standards	6	4.1.3	SR – Silicone	24
2.4	Joint Industry Standard	6	4.1.4	UR – Polyurethane	24
2.5	Military Standards	6	4.1.5	XY – Parylene	24
2.6	Underwriters Laboratories	7	4.2	UV Cure	24
2.7	International Standards	7	4.3	Other Types of Conformal Coatings	25
2.7.1	European Standards	7	4.3.1	Amorphous Fluoropolymer	25
2.7.2	IEC Standards	7	4.3.2	Fluorocarbon (FC)	25
2.7.3	Japanese Standards	7	4.3.3	Perfluoroether	25
2.8	US OSHA	7	4.3.4	Atomic Layer Deposition Encapsulation (ALD-CAP)	26
2.9	RTCA, Inc. Standards	7	4.3.5	POSS-Thiol	26
2.10	NFPA Standards	8	4.3.6	Other Coating Types and CC-830	26
2.11	B11 Standards	8	4.4	The Uses of Conformal Coating	26
2.12	FM Global Standards	8	4.4.1	Proper Selection of Conformal Coating	26
2.13	SEMI Standards	8	4.4.2	Conformal Coating vs. Potting and Encapsulation	29
2.14	Original Equipment Manufacturing (OEM) Specification	8	4.5	Tin Whisker Mitigation	30
2.15	The Nature of MIL-I-46058 and IPC-CC-830	8	4.6	Fungus Mitigation	31
2.16	The Relation of MIL-I-46058 and IPC-CC-830 to Performance Specifications	10	4.6.1	Major Fungus Test Methods	31
3	ENVIRONMENTAL, HEALTH AND SAFETY (EHS) REQUIREMENTS	11	4.7	Flammability Mitigation	31
3.1	Employee Exposure to Conformal Coating Materials/Ingredients	11	4.7.1	Flammable Liquid Issues	32
3.1.1	Hazard Communication	12	4.7.2	Coating Film Flammability Concerns	32
3.1.2	Other Employee Exposure Issues	13	5	DESIGN FOR COATING APPLICATION	32
3.2	Fire Safety Requirements	13	5.1	Design Philosophy	32
3.3	Air Emissions / Permitting	14	5.1.1	Defining Reliability Requirements	32
3.4	Solid Waste Management	14	5.1.2	Understanding the Product Life Cycle	32

5.1.3	Defining the Product Environment	33	7.1.3	Cleaning Media	48
5.1.4	Aerospace Applications	33	7.1.4	Plasticizer	48
5.1.5	Automotive Applications	33	7.1.5	Defoamer	48
5.1.6	High Density Interconnect (HDI) on PBs/ Backplanes with Soldered or Press Fit Contacts	35	7.1.6	Mold Release Agent	48
5.2	PBs	36	7.1.7	Marking	48
5.2.1	Plating Surfaces	37	7.1.8	Temporary Masking	48
5.2.2	Alternate Surface Finishes	37	7.2	Inhibition	48
5.2.3	Spacing	37	7.2.1	Types of Inhibition	49
5.2.4	Solder Mask	37	7.2.2	Location of Inhibition	49
5.3	Component	37	7.2.3	Causes of Inhibition	49
5.3.1	Component Material Type	37	7.2.4	Compatibility Check List	49
5.3.2	Through-Hole Components	38	7.3	Adhesion	50
5.3.3	Leaded SMT	38	7.3.1	Substrate Surface Energy	52
5.3.4	Leadless SMT Components	38	7.3.2	Components	56
5.4	Electrical	39	7.3.3	Surface Finishes	56
5.4.1	High Voltage (HV)/High Current (HC)	39	7.3.4	Cleanliness	56
5.4.2	RF and Microwave	39	7.4	Interlayer Adhesion	57
5.4.3	High Speed Digital	40	7.5	Methods of Assessing Compatibility	57
5.4.4	Controlled Impedance	40	7.5.1	Advantages of Test Boards	58
5.4.5	EMI/ESD	40	7.5.2	Disadvantages of Test Boards	58
5.5	Coating Coverage	40	8 PROCESSING	58	
5.5.1	Areas To Be Coated	41	8.1	Cleanliness	58
5.5.2	Areas When Coating Generally Should NOT Be Applied	41	8.1.1	Cleaning	58
5.5.3	Conformal Coating for BGAs and Similar Components	42	8.1.2	Cleanliness Assessment Techniques	58
5.5.4	Conformal Coating Over Adhesives	43	8.1.3	Processing Environment	60
5.5.5	Other Considerations on Coating Coverage	43	8.2	Substrate Preparation	60
5.6	Masking	43	8.2.1	Priming	60
5.7	Drawings and Design Guidelines	44	8.2.2	Plasma Treatment	62
5.8	Reworkability/Repairability	44	8.2.3	Mechanical Etching	62
6 RAW MATERIALS CHARACTERISTICS	44	8.3	Masking	62	
6.1	Physical Properties	45	8.3.1	Types of Masks	62
6.1.1	Viscosity	45	8.3.2	Manual vs. Automated Masking	63
6.1.2	Surface Properties	45	8.3.3	De-masking	64
6.2	Chemical Properties	46	8.4	Recommended Coverage	64
6.2.1	Flammability	46	8.4.1	Recommended Thickness	64
6.2.2	Reactivity	46	8.4.2	Uneven Coating Thickness	64
6.2.3	Toxicity	46	8.4.3	Edge and Point Coverage	65
7 COMPATIBILITY	46	8.4.4	Application Method	65	
7.1	Compatibility with Process Materials	46	8.5	Shadowing/Bridging	65
7.1.1	Solder Masks	46	8.5.1	Accessibility Consideration	65
7.1.2	Flux Residues	47	8.5.2	Curing Consideration	65
			8.5.3	Shadowing Techniques	65
			8.5.4	Bridging Techniques	65
			8.6	Viscosity Adjustment	66
			8.6.1	Methods of Viscosity Adjustment	66

8.6.2	Objectives of Viscosity	66	9.3.1	Thermal Stability	84
8.7	Application Methods	66	9.3.2	Thermal Shock	84
8.7.1	Manual Spraying	66	9.3.3	Glass Transition Temperature (T_g)	85
8.7.2	Automated Spraying	67	9.3.4	Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (CTE)	85
8.7.3	Dipping	69	9.3.5	Temperature Gradient	85
8.7.4	Brushing	70	9.4	Flammability	85
8.7.5	Selective Coating	70	9.5	Flexibility	85
8.7.6	Vacuum Deposition	71	9.6	Abrasion Resistance	85
8.8	Multi-Layering	71	9.7	Coating Creep	86
8.9	Cure Mechanisms	72	9.8	Hydrolytic Stability	86
8.9.1	Room Temperature Cure	72	9.9	Permeability	86
8.9.2	Heat Cure	72	9.10	Chemical Compatibility and Chemical Resistance	86
8.9.3	Heat Accelerable	72	9.10.1	Fuel Resistance	87
8.9.4	UV Cure	72	9.10.2	Biological Compatibility	87
8.9.5	Moisture Cure	72	9.11	Gas Resistance	87
8.9.6	Catalytic Cure	72	9.12	Corrosion Resistance	87
8.10	Cure Process Considerations	72	9.13	Fungus Resistance	87
8.10.1	Cure By-Products	72	9.14	UV Stability	87
8.10.2	Exotherm	73	9.15	Radiation Resistance	87
8.10.3	Shrinkage	73	9.16	Outgassing	88
8.10.4	Premature Surface Cure/Solvent Entrapment ..	73	9.17	Permeability and Ion Transport	88
8.10.5	Exceeding Cure Recommendations	73	10	REWORK, REPAIR AND RESTORATION	89
8.10.6	Layering	73	10.1	Rework in the Factory	89
8.11	Application Process Monitoring	73	10.2	Rework and Repair in the Field	90
8.11.1	Types of Coating Thickness Measurements	74	10.3	Reconditioning and Restoration	90
8.12	Inspection Guidelines	80	10.4	Repair, Rework and Restoration Impact on Reliability	90
8.12.1	Magnification	81	10.5	Conformal Coating Identification and Removal	90
8.12.2	UV/Light Source	81	10.5.1	Conformal Coating Identification	90
8.12.3	Workmanship	81	10.6	Conformal Coating Removal Methods	97
8.12.4	Automated Inspection	81	10.6.1	Abrasive Blasting for Conformal Coating Removal	98
8.13	Environmental, Health and Safety Processing Considerations	82	10.6.2	Chemical Stripping for Conformal Coating Removal	99
8.13.1	Viscosity Adjustment	82	10.6.3	Thermal Removal of Conformal Coating	99
8.13.2	Spraying	83	10.6.4	Mechanical Removal of Conformal Coating	100
8.13.3	Dipping and Brushing	83	10.6.5	Plasma Removal	101
8.13.4	Vacuum Deposition	83	10.6.6	Laser Ablation	101
8.13.5	Curing	83	10.6.7	Combination Rework Methods	102
9	FILM PROPERTIES	83	10.7	Cleaning Prior To Recoating	102
9.1	Appearance/Color	83	10.8	Reapplication of Conformal Coating	102
9.2	Dielectric Properties	83	10.8.1	Patch Coating	102
9.2.1	Dielectric Withstanding Voltage (DWV)	83			
9.2.2	Insulation Resistance	83			
9.2.3	Q-Resonance	84			
9.2.4	Dielectric Constant and Dissipation Factor	84			
9.3	Thermal Properties	84			

10.8.2	Other Considerations in Recoating	103	APPENDIX D	Thermal Analysis	118
10.9	Environmental, Health and Safety Rework and Repair Considerations	103	APPENDIX E	Relative Humidity	119
11	END USE ENVIRONMENT	103	APPENDIX F	Time of Wetness	120
11.1	Outdoor Environment	103	APPENDIX G	Atmospheric Particulates	121
11.1.1	Ultraviolet (UV) Radiation	103	APPENDIX H	Airborne Contaminants	123
11.1.2	Humidity	103	APPENDIX I	Simulated Acid Rain Test	127
11.1.3	Pollutant Gases	104	APPENDIX J	Coating for Medical Applications ...	129
11.1.4	Ozone	104	APPENDIX K	Example of Stringent Test for Any Breach in A Conformal Coating	131
11.1.5	Acid Rain	104	APPENDIX L	TIN WHISKERS	132
11.1.6	Marine and Coastal Environment	104	APPENDIX M	FUNGUS TEST METHOD	140
11.2	Automotive	104	APPENDIX N	HMIS CONTAINER LABELING SYSTEM	151
11.3	Avionics Environment	105	APPENDIX O	GHS HAZARD SYMBOLS AND CLASSES	154
11.3.1	Aircraft on the Ground	105	APPENDIX P	GHS SAFETY DATA SHEETS (SDSS) CONTENTS	154
11.3.2	Equipment Outside the Pressure Contain- ment Compartment During Operations	105	APPENDIX Q	NPL REPORT	154
11.3.3	Equipment Inside the Pressure Contain- ment Compartment During Operations	105	APPENDIX 1	GC-MS Flux Analysis	177
11.4	Space Environment	105			
11.5	Medical Environment	105			
11.6	Geothermal Environment	106			
11.7	Nuclear Biological Chemical Warfare Environment	106			
12	LONG TERM RELIABILITY AND TESTING	106			
12.1	Failure Mechanism	106			
12.1.1	Wear/Abrasion	106			
12.1.2	Loss of Transparency/Discoloration	106			
12.1.3	Cracking	106			
12.1.4	Loss of Adhesion	107			
12.1.5	Bubbles	107			
12.1.6	Blistering	107			
12.1.7	Mealing	107			
12.1.8	Charring	107			
12.1.9	Degradation	107			
12.1.10	Chemical Attack	107			
12.2	Accelerated Testing	107			
12.2.1	Test Parameters	108			
12.2.2	Examples of Tests	108			
12.3	Accelerated UV Exposure Testing	108			
13	BIBLIOGRAPHY	109			
APPENDIX A	Conformal Coating Comparison Guide	110			
APPENDIX B	Flow Cup Viscosity Measurement	113			
APPENDIX C	Troubleshooting Guide	114			
				Figures	
			Figure 3-1	GADSL and REACH SVHC Reported in IMDS	17
			Figure 3-2	Recommendations Link	18
			Figure 3-3	GADSL Reported on CAMDS	19
			Figure 4-1	Conformal Coating Family Trees	23
			Figure 4-2	Potential Loads During the Operation of an Electronic Assembly	27
			Figure 4-3	Effects of 0 to 100% Relative Humidity on the Operation of an Electronic Assembly	28
			Figure 4-4	The “Kärner matrix” showing the relation- ship between a material and the electrical insulators made from it	29
			Figure 5-1	Components which should not be coated	41
			Figure 5-2	Connector interior should not be coated	41
			Figure 5-3	Potentiometer’s movable surface should not be coated	41
			Figure 5-4	Optical device which should not be coated ...	42
			Figure 5-5	Components sensitive to CTE should not be coated	42
			Figure 5-6	BGA Cross Section and X-ray Images	42
			Figure 5-7	Adhesive which will be conformal coated	43
			Figure 5-8	Assembly Drawing with Masking Requirements	44
			Figure 7-1	“0” rating – The film immediately delaminates from the pressure used to cut through the film	51

Figure 7-2	“1” rating – The film does not delaminate from the pressure used to cut through the film. But, under slight pressure from the pick, the film delaminates	51	Figure 8-22	Wet Film Comb (Image Courtesy of Nordson)	77
Figure 7-3	“2” rating – The film requires significant pressure to delaminate the film	51	Figure 8-23	Measuring Wet Film (Image Courtesy of Gardco)	77
Figure 7-4	“3” rating – The film can be plowed up with the pick, but does not completely delaminate in a continuous piece/film	51	Figure 8-24	Wet Film Gauge	77
Figure 7-5	“4” rating – The film cannot be removed with the pick. The force required to move the film typically damages the underlying substrate	51	Figure 8-25	Ultrasonic Measurement System (Image Courtesy of DeFelsko Corporation)	78
Figure 7-6	Dyne Pens	52	Figure 8-26	Taking a Measurement with an Ultrasonic Tool (Image Courtesy of DeFelsko Corporation)	78
Figure 7-7	Cracked and Peeling Coated Areas	54	Figure 8-27	Measuring Conformal Coating with an Ultrasonic Tool (Image Courtesy of DeFelsko Corporation)	78
Figure 7-8	Critical Surface Tension – Correction factor add or subtract 4 dynes/cm for each 10% change in RH	54	Figure 8-28	Optical Measuring System (Image Courtesy of Fritz Byle)	79
Figure 7-9	The Effect of Surfactant Type on Conformal Coating Wetting	55	Figure 8-29	Image Refracting Through Conformal Coating (Image Courtesy of Fritz Byle)	79
Figure 7-10	Measurements for Contact Angle	55	Figure 8-30	Loss of Conformal Coating Adhesion	81
Figure 7-11	Goniometer (image courtesy of Rame Hart Instrument Company)	56	Figure 8-31	Voids in Conformal Coating	81
Figure 8-1	Options of Cleaning Systems According to Flux Type	59	Figure 8-32	Left: Printed Board coated with conformal coating. Right: 3D scan surface plot of the film over the contacts.	82
Figure 8-2	Cure Windows of Primer Cure	61	Figure 9-1	Horizontal Diffusion Cells	88
Figure 8-3	Conditions that Influence the Extent of Primer Cure	61	Figure 10-1	Conformal Coating Identification	92
Figure 8-4	Influence of Temperature and Humidity	61	Figure 10-2	Flow of Tests	94
Figure 8-5	Masking Tapes	63	Figure 10-3	Sample in a Secured Test Tube	96
Figure 8-6	Masking Boots	63	Figure 10-4	Apply Heat to the Test Tube	96
Figure 8-7	Spray Booth with a Manual Spray Gun	67	Figure L-1	Tin Whiskers on a Connector for Commercial Electronics Application	132
Figure 8-8	Nonatomized Curtain Coater	67	Figure L-2	Silicone Conformal Coating as a Tin Whisker Mitigation Methodology Example ...	133
Figure 8-9	Swirl Applicator	68	Figure L-3	Test Coupon Used in Kumar/Woody Investigation	134
Figure 8-10	Improvements in spray technology now permit pattern widths as tight as 3.175 mm [125 mil] for work around sensitive keep-out areas	68	Figure L-4	Tin Whiskers Contained/Captured by Conformal Coating	135
Figure 8-11	Conveyorized Applicator	68	Figure L-5	SEM Photo of Whisker Breaking Through Coating	138
Figure 8-12	Stand-Alone Batch Applicator	69	Figure L-6	Atotech’s Proposed Role of Chloride in the Initiation of a Tin Whisker	138
Figure 8-13	Automated Applicator	69	Figure M-1	Cladosporium Sphaerospermum	140
Figure 8-14	Dipping Equipment with Smaller PCAs	70	Figure M-2	G-21 Test Samples (Elite Carpet, ASTM G21, November 2003)	142
Figure 8-15	Dipping Equipment with Large PCAs	70	Figure M-3	MIL-STD-810 Fungus Test Apparatus	147
Figure 8-16	Vapor Deposition Polymerization Unit	71	Figure N-1	HMIS Label	153
Figure 8-17	Eddy Current Tool	76	Figure Q-1	Layout of Test Board	162
Figure 8-18	Eddy Current System (Image courtesy of Helmut-Fischer)	76	Figure Q-2	Typical Temperature / Humidity Profile during SIR Testing at 85 °C and 85% RH ...	162
Figure 8-19	Example of an Eddy Current System (Image Courtesy of DeFelsko Corporation) ...	76	Figure Q-3	SIR Plot Showing the Effect of Environmental Conditions on the Resistance of a Conformally Coated Board	162
Figure 8-20	Taking a Reading with and Eddy Current Tool (Image Courtesy of DeFelsko Corporation)	76	Figure Q-4	Diagram of SurfaceScan QC-100™	163
Figure 8-21	Measuring Conformal Coating with an Eddy Current Tool (Image Courtesy of DeFelsko Corporation)	76	Figure Q-5	PermeGear Horizontal Cell	163
			Figure Q-6	Final SIR Values of Boards with NaCl Contamination Applied Before or After Acrylic Coating	165

Figure Q-7	SIR Plot of NaCl Contamination on Water-Based Acrylic (1) Coated Boards	165	Figure Q-34	Chloride Diffusion Trends for Water-Based Acrylic (1) Coated Boards	173
Figure Q-8	SIR Plot of NaCl Contamination on Solvent-Based Acrylic Coated Boards	165	Figure Q-35	Chloride Diffusion Trends for Solvent-Based Acrylic Coated Boards	173
Figure Q-9	SIR Plot of NaCl Contamination on Silicone Coated Boards	166	Figure Q-36	Variation in pH Reflecting Hydrogen Ion Diffusion Trends for Water-Based Acrylic (1) Coated Boards	173
Figure Q-10	SIR Plot of NaCl Contamination on Polyurethane / Alkyd Coated Boards	166	Figure Q-37	Variation in pH Reflecting Hydrogen Ion Diffusion Trends for Solvent-Based Acrylic Coated Boards	173
Figure Q-11	SIR Plot of NaCl Contamination on Epoxy Coated Boards	166	Figure Q-38	Variation in pH Reflecting Hydrogen Ion Diffusion Trends For Silicone Coated Boards	174
Figure Q-12	SIR Plot of NaCl Contamination on Water-Based Acrylic (2) Coated Boards	166	Figure Q-39	Variation in pH Reflecting Hydrogen Ion Diffusion Trends for Polyurethane / Alkyd Coated Boards	174
Figure Q-13	Final SIR Values of Conformally Coated Boards Contaminated with NaCl After Coating	166	Figure Q-40	Variation in pH Reflecting Hydrogen Ion Diffusion Trends for Epoxy Coated Boards	174
Figure Q-14	SIR Plot of Flux Contamination on Water-Based Acrylic (1) Coated Boards	167	Figure Q-41	Variation in pH Reflecting Hydrogen Ion Diffusion Trends for Water-Based Acrylic (2) Coated Boards	174
Figure Q-15	SIR Plot of Flux Contamination on Solvent-Based Acrylic Coated Boards	167	Figure Q-42	Chromatogram of Succinic Acid	177
Figure Q-16	SIR Plot of Flux Contamination on Silicone Coated Boards	167	Figure Q-43	Chromatogram of Glutaric Acid	177
Figure Q-17	SIR Plot of Flux Contamination on Polyurethane / Alkyd Coated Boards	167	Figure Q-44	Chromatogram of Adipic Acid	178
Figure Q-18	SIR Plot of Flux Contamination on Epoxy Coated Boards	168	Figure Q-45	Chromatogram of Water-Based Flux B	178
Figure Q-19	SIR Plot of Flux Contamination on Water-Based Acrylic 2 Coated	168	Figure Q-46	Chromatogram of Initially Uncontaminated Solution from the Diffusion Cell Experiment	179
Figure Q-20	Final SIR Values of Conformally Coated Boards Contaminated with Flux After Coating	168	Figure Q-47	Mass Spectrum of Water-Based Flux B Looking at the Peak from Figure Q-46 At 12.358 Minutes (Top) and Library Match Succinic Acid (Bottom)	179
Figure Q-21	A Copper Surface Shown with Different Oxidation States of Copper, and Copper Sulphide	169	Figure Q-48	Mass Spectrum of Water-Based Flux B Looking at the Peak from Figure Q-46 At 14.511 Minutes (Top) and Library Match Adipic Acid (Bottom)	180
Figure Q-22	SERA Curve of NaCl Contamination on Water-Based Acrylic (1) Coated Boards	169	Figure Q-49	Mass Spectrum of Water-Based Flux B Looking at the Peak from Figure Q-46 At 14.511 Minutes (Top) and Library Match Glutaric Acid (Bottom)	180
Figure Q-23	SERA Curve of NaCl Contamination on Solvent-Based Acrylic Coated Boards	169	Figure Q-50	Mass Spectrum of Water-Based Flux B Looking at the Peak from Figure Q-46 At 17.501 Minutes (Top) and Library Match The Minor Component Of Glutaric Acid (Bottom)	181
Figure Q-24	SERA Curve of NaCl Contamination on Silicone Coated Boards	169	Figure Q-51	Mass Spectrum of Water-Based Flux B Looking at the Peak from Figure Q-46 At 18.971 Minutes (Top) and Library Match The Minor Component of Adipic Acid (Bottom)	181
Figure Q-25	SERA Curve of NaCl contamination on Polyurethane / Alkyd Coated Boards	169	Figure Q-52	Mass Spectrum of Water-Based Flux B Looking at the Peak from Figure Q-46 At 11.7 -to- 12.9 Minutes (Top) and Library Match Succinic Acid (Bottom)	182
Figure Q-26	SERA Curve of NaCl Contamination on Epoxy Coated Boards	170	Figure Q-53	Mass Spectrum of Water-Based Flux B Looking at the Peak from Figure Q-46 At 14.3 -to- 15.5 Minutes (Top) and Library Match Glutaric Acid (Bottom)	182
Figure Q-27	SERA Curve of NaCl Contamination on Water-Based Acrylic (2) Coated Boards	170			
Figure Q-28	SERA Curve of Flux Contamination on Water-Based Acrylic (1) Coated Boards	171			
Figure Q-29	SERA Curve of Flux Contamination on Solvent-Based Acrylic Coated Boards	171			
Figure Q-30	SERA Curve of Flux Contamination on Silicone Coated Boards	171			
Figure Q-31	SERA Curve of Flux Contamination on Polyurethane / Alkyd Coated Boards	171			
Figure Q-32	SERA Curve of Flux Contamination on Epoxy Coated Boards	172			
Figure Q-33	SERA Curve of Flux Contamination on Water-Based Acrylic (2) Coated Boards	172			

Figure Q-54	Mass Spectrum of Water-Based Flux B Looking at the Peak from Figure Q-46 At 14.3 -to- 15.5 Minutes (Top) and Library Match Adipic Acid (Bottom)	183
-------------	---	-----

Tables

Table 2-1	The Relation of IPC-A-610 and J-STD-001 to Acceptance Standards Conformal Coating Criteria Comparison (IPC-A-610E vs. J-STD-001E)	11
Table 3-1	Permissible Exposure Limits (PELs)	12
Table 3-2	Ratings for Flammable Liquids	12
Table 7-1	General Guidelines when using Dyne Pens	52
Table 8-1	Summary of Measurement Methods	80
Table 10-1	Conformal Coating Characteristics	93
Table 10-2	Conformal Coating Removal Methods	93
Table 10-3	Results for Test 1 and Test 2	97
Table 11-1	Automotive Industry General Temperature Classifications	105
Table B-1	Conversion Chart for Flow Cup Viscosity Measurements	113
Table C-1	Troubleshooting Guide	114
Table D-1	Molecular Interpretation	118
Table D-2	Measurement Methods	118
Table E-1	Critical Relative Humidity (CRH) For Several Inorganic Compounds	119
Table F-1	Time of Wetness	120
Table G-1	Deposition Rates for Particles	122
Table H-1	Ambient Air Pollution Levels	123
Table H-2	Dry Deposition Measurements	124
Table H-3	Highest Levels of Wet Deposition	125
Table H-4	Concentration of Selected Gaseous Air Constituents in US	125
Table H-5	Indoor Pollution Levels in the Far East	126
Table I-1	Acid Rain Formula for Testing Purposes	127
Table L-1	GEIA-STD-0005-2 Tin Whisker Level Categories	137
Table M-1	Nutrient Solution	141
Table M-2	Fungi Mixture	141
Table M-3	Visual Rating Scale	141
Table M-4	Nutrient Solution	142
Table M-5	IEC Fungi Mixture	143
Table M-6	Visual Rating Scale	143
Table M-7	Fungi Mixture	144
Table M-8	Fungi Mixture	145
Table M-9	MIL-STD-810 Fungus List	146
Table M-10	Visual Rating Scale for MIL-STD-810F	147
Table M-11	Group I per MIL-STD-454	148
Table M-12	Group II Per MIL-STD-454	148
Table M-13	MIL-HDBK-454 Group I Fungus Inert Materials	149
Table M-14	MIL-HDBK-454 Group II Fungus Nutrient Materials	149
Table O-1	A Comparison of Health Hazards and Their Related Categories	154
Table O-2	Acute Oral Toxicity	157
Table Q-1	Flux Formulations	167
Table Q-2	Reduction Potentials of Surface Species	171

Guidelines for Design, Selection and Application of Conformal Coatings

1 PREFACE

1.1 Introduction Conformal coatings are used in conjunction with printed circuit assemblies (PCAs). The designer and the users of conformal coatings for electronics applications should be aware of the properties of various types of conformal coatings and their interactions with PCAs to protect the PCAs in the end-use environment for the design-life of the PCA (or beyond). This document has been written to assist the designers and users of conformal coatings in understanding the characteristics of various coating types, as well as the factors that can modify those properties when the coatings are applied. Understanding and accounting for these materials can ensure the reliability and function of electronics.

1.2 Purpose The purpose of this handbook is to assist the individuals who either make choices regarding conformal coating or who work in coating operations. This handbook represents the compiled knowledge and experience of the IPC Conformal Coating Handbook Task Group. It is not enough to understand the properties of the various conformal coatings; the user needs to understand what is to be achieved by applying the conformal coating and how to verify that the desired results have been realized.

1.3 Scope Conformal coating, for the purpose of this document, is defined as a thin, transparent, polymeric coating that is applied to the surfaces of PCAs to provide protection from the end-use environment. Typical coating thickness ranges from 12.5 μm [0.49 mil] to 200 μm [7.9 mil].

Processing characteristics and curing mechanisms are dependent on the coating chemistries used. The desired performance characteristics of a conformal coating depend on the application and should be considered when selecting coating materials and coating processes. Users are urged to consult the suppliers for detailed technical data.

This guide enables a user to select a conformal coating based on industry experience and pertinent considerations. It is the responsibility of the user to determine the suitability, via appropriate testing, of the selected coating and application method for a particular end use application.

A conformal coating may have several functions depending on the type of application. The most common are:

- a. To inhibit current leakage and short circuit due to humidity and contamination from service environment.
- b. To inhibit corrosion.
- c. To improve fatigue life of solder joints to leadless packages.
- d. To inhibit arcing, corona and St. Elmo's Fire.
- e. To provide mechanical support for small parts that cannot be secured by mechanical means, to prevent damage due to mechanical shock and vibration.

1.4 Classification This standard recognizes that electrical and electronic assemblies are subject to classifications by intended end-item use. Three general end-product classes have been established to reflect differences in producibility, complexity, functional performance requirements and verification (inspection/test) frequency. It should be recognized that there may be overlaps of equipment between classes.

The user is responsible for defining the product class. The product class should be stated in the procurement documentation package.

CLASS 1 General Electronic Products

Includes products suitable for applications where the major requirement is function of the completed assembly.

CLASS 2 Dedicated Service Electronic Products

Includes products where continued performance and extended life is required, and for which uninterrupted service is desired but not critical. Typically the end-use environment would not cause failures.

CLASS 3 High Performance Electronic Products

Includes products where continued high performance or performance-on-demand is critical, equipment downtime cannot be tolerated, end-use environment may be uncommonly harsh, and the equipment must function when required, such as life support or other critical systems.