



IPC-4552B

Specification for Electroless Nickel/ Immersion Gold (ENIG) Plating for Printed Boards

Developed by the Plating Processes Subcommittee (4-14) of the
Fabrication Processes Committee (4-10) of IPC

Supersedes:

IPC-4552A – August 2017
IPC-4552 with Amendments
1 & 2 – December 2012
IPC-4552 – October 2002

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the
development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC

Table of Contents

1	SCOPE	1	3.2.1	Shelf Life	16
1.1	Purpose	1	3.2.2	Humidity Indicator Card (HIC)	19
1.2	Description	1	3.2.3	Aluminum and Copper Wire (Wedge) Bonding	19
1.3	Classification	1	3.2.4	Contact Surface	19
1.4	Measurement Units	1	3.2.5	EMI Shielding	19
1.5	Definition of Requirements	1	3.2.6	Conductive and/or Anisotropic Adhesive Interface (Replacement for Solder)	20
1.6	Process Control Requirements	2	3.2.7	Connectors	20
1.7	Order of Precedence	2	3.2.8	Limitations of ENIG	20
1.7.1	Conflict	2	3.3	Visual	20
1.7.2	Clause References	2	3.4	Selective Annular Ring Dewetting (SAD)	21
1.7.3	Appendices	2	3.5	Finish Thickness	22
1.8	Use of “Lead”	3	3.5.1	Electroless Nickel Thickness	22
1.9	Abbreviations and Acronyms	3	3.5.2	Immersion Gold Thickness	24
1.10	Terms and Definitions	3	3.5.3	Exceptions to the Required Thickness Ranges	25
1.10.1	Electroless Process	3	3.6	Nickel Corrosion	27
1.10.2	Hyperactive Corrosion Deposit	3	3.6.1	Morphologies of Features at Electroless Nickel – Immersion Gold Interfaces	28
1.10.3	Immersion Process	3	3.6.2	Corrosion Level Definition	30
1.10.4	Metal Turnover (MTO)	3	3.6.3	Corrosion Level Evaluation of an As- Plated ENIG Deposit by Cross Section	32
1.10.5	Reduction Assisted Immersion Gold Also known as: Mixed Reaction Immersion Gold	3	3.6.4	ENIG Baselineing	38
1.10.6	Selective Annular Ring Dewetting (SAD)	4	3.6.5	ENIG Corrosion Performance Monitoring	38
2	APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS	4	3.6.6	Optional Testing to Determine IMC Formation on Boards Showing Product Rating 2	39
2.1	IPC	4	3.7	Porosity	40
2.2	Joint Standards	5	3.8	Adhesion	40
2.3	ASTM International (ASTM)	5	3.9	Solderability	41
2.4	JEDEC	5	3.9.1	Stressing of the Deposit Prior to Solderability Testing	41
2.5	Defense Standardization Program	5	3.9.2	Force Measurement Testing (Wetting Balance Testing)	41
2.6	Telcordia Technologies, Inc.	5	3.10	Cleanliness	42
2.7	International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC)	5	3.11	Electrolytic Corrosion	42
2.8	International Organization for Standardization (ISO)	5	3.12	Chemical Resistance	42
3	REQUIREMENTS of ENIG DEPOSIT	6	4	QUALITY ASSURANCE PROVISIONS	42
3.1	Printed Board Fabrication Supplier Process Requirements	7	4.1	General Quality Assurance Provisions	42
3.1.1	General Plating Line Requirements	7	4.1.1	Qualification Recommendations	42
3.1.2	ENIG XRF Calibration Standards	12	4.1.2	Sample Test Coupons	42
3.1.3	XRF Zero Offset Acceptability	12	4.2	Quality Conformance Testing	44
3.1.4	Process Qualification Measurement Requirements	13	4.2.1	Thickness	44
3.1.5	Electroless Nickel Reducing Agents – Phosphorus Content	14	4.2.2	Qualified Process	44
3.1.6	Gold Stripping of Plated Deposits for Evaluation of Corrosion Level	16			
3.2	Performance Functions	16			

APPENDIX 1	Abbreviations and Acronyms	45	Figure 3-1d	Graphical and Statistical Evaluation of Data from XRF Tool # 3	11
APPENDIX 2	ENIG Process Sequence	47	Figure 3-2	Potassium Iodide/Iodine (KI / I ₂) at 15 sec. dwell (left) vs. 60 sec. dwell (right)	17
APPENDIX 3	XRF Thickness Measurements of Thin Au (ENIG): Recommendations for Instrumentation (Detectors) and Their Limitations	48	Figure 3-3	Cyanide-Based Stripping at 15 sec. dwell (left) vs. 60 sec. dwell (right)	17
APPENDIX 4	ENIG PWB Surface Finish Wetting Balance Testing	50	Figure 3-4	Cyanide Stripping (left) vs. KI/I ₂ Stripping (right) Using Focused Ion Beam (FIB)	17
APPENDIX 5	IPC 4-14 SC ENIG Round Robin Solder Spread Testing	68	Figure 3-5	25,000X FIB Images – Cyanide (left) vs. KI / I ₂ (right) – Same Dwell Time	18
APPENDIX 6	Wire Bonding to ENIG	74	Figure 3-6	Ion Mill Stripping of Defect-Free Nickel (left) vs. Hyper-Corroded Nickel (right)	18
APPENDIX 7	Through Hole Solderability Testing	75	Figure 3-7	Humidity Indicator Card (HIC) Example	19
APPENDIX 8	Evaluation of Electroless Nickel Corrosion Due to Immersion Gold Plating, Using 3000X Magnification After Gold Stripping	90	Figure 3-8	Uniform Plating	20
APPENDIX 9-A	Cyanide Gold Stripping for ENIG	95	Figure 3-9	Extraneous Plating or Nickel Foot	21
APPENDIX 9-B	Test Method for Potassium Iodide/ Iodine (Non-Cyanide) ENIG Gold Stripping Procedure	96	Figure 3-10	Skip Plating (No Ni plating)	21
APPENDIX 9-C	Method for Stripping Gold Plating from ENIG Finished PCBs by Broad Beam Argon Ion Milling	98	Figure 3-11	Edge Pull Back	21
APPENDIX 10	Determination of Thickness and Phosphorus Content in Electroless Nickel (EN) Layers X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Spectrometry [IPC-TM-650, Method 2.3.44]	103	Figure 3-12	Example of SAD (Selective Annular Ring Dewetting)	22
APPENDIX 11	Phosphorus Content Measurement in ENIG Using Electron Dispersive Spectroscopy EDS	110	Figure 3-13	Another Example of the SAD Defect	22
APPENDIX 12	Standard Developments Efforts of Electroless Nickel Immersion Gold	115	Figure 3-14	Selective Annular Ring Dewetting Defect	22
APPENDIX 13	Using Guard Bands or a Gauge Correction Factor to Accommodate Type 1 Gauge Study Measurement Uncertainty	134	Figure 3-15	Grain Structure of Conventional Nickel Deposit	23
APPENDIX 14	Worked Examples of Hyper-Corrosion Evaluations	139	Figure 3-16	Grain Structure of Nickel Deposit Modified for Dynamic Flex Applications	23
			Figure 3-17	Example of Fracture in Conventional Nickel Deposit	23
			Figure 3-18	Modified Nickel Deposit Showing No Fracture with Same Number of Cycles	23
			Figure 3-19	Example of Multiple Spike Defects on the Knee of a Hole at 1000X	28
			Figure 3-20	Example of an Isolated Spreader Defect at 1000X (Rate as Level 1, if < 40%)	29
			Figure 3-21	Example of Multiple Spreader Defects on the Knee of a Hole at 1000X	29
			Figure 3-22	Example of Black Band Defect (Jagged in Nature) with Varying Depth of Penetration Type of Corrosion at 1000X	29
			Figure 3-23	Example of Black Band That Would be Rejectable if It Met the Minimum 30% Coverage of the Field of View at 1000X	29
			Figure 3-24	Example of a Crack in the Nickel Deposit at 1000X	30
			Figure 3-25	Example of Level 0 Corrosion – Knee of Hole at 1000X	31
			Figure 3-26	Example of Level 0 Corrosion – SMT Feature at 1000X	31
			Figure 3-27	Level 1 Corrosion – SMT Feature at 1000X	31
			Figure 3-28	Level 1 Corrosion – Knee of Hole at 1000X	31
			Figure 3-29	Level 2 Corrosion – Knee of Hole at 1000X	32
			Figure 3-30	Level 2 Corrosion – SMT Feature at 1000X	32

Figures

Figure 3-1a	Example of Repeat Measurement Data from Three Different XRF Tools	8
Figure 3-1b	Graphical and Statistical Evaluation of Data from XRF Tool # 1	9
Figure 3-1c	Graphical and Statistical Evaluation of Data from XRF Tool # 2	10

Figure 3-31 Level 3 Hyperactive Corrosion – Knee of Hole at 1000X 32

Figure 3-32 Level 3 Hyperactive Corrosion – SMT Feature at 1000X 32

Figure 3-33 Etched Defined PTH 33

Figure 3-34 Solder Mask Defined Features 33

Figure 3-35 Solder Mask Defined SMT Pad 33

Figure 3-36 Etched Defined SMT Pad (non-supported PTH are treated as SMT Pad) 33

Figure 3-37 Blank Product Rating Spread Sheet 34

Figure 3-38 Example Product Rating for 5 Different Panels 35

Figure 3-39 Decision Tree Based on Product Rating Evaluation 35

Figure 3-40 Through Holes to be Evaluated for Corrosion at 25X 36

Figure 3-41 Location 1 Worked Example – Rating Is a Level 2 at 1000X 36

Figure 3-42 Location 2 Worked Example – Rating Is a Level 1 at 1000X 36

Figure 3-43 Location 3 Worked Example – Rating Is a Level 2 at 1000X 36

Figure 3-44 Location 4 Worked Example – Rating Is a Level 0 at 1000X 36

Figure 3-45 Location 5 Worked Example – Rating Is a Level 2 at 1000X 36

Figure 3-46 Location 6 Worked Example – Rating Is a Level 0 at 1000X 37

Figure 3-47 Location 7 Worked Example – Rating Is a Level 0 at 1000X 37

Figure 3-48 Product Rating for the Worked Example 37

Figure 3-49 Example of Run Chart Created for Recording Product Rating 38

Figure 3-50 Example of an Acceptable Contiguous IMC Layer at 1000X 40

Figure 3-51 Example of a Rejectable Non-Contiguous IMC Layer at 1000X 40

Figure 3-52 Example – Rejectable with Little-to-No IMC Formation at 1000X 40

Figure 3-53 Example – Rejectable with Little-to-No IMC Formation at Annular Ring for Through Hole with Level 2 Corrosion Level at 1000X 41

Figure 3-54 Standard IPC Force Measurement Coupon 41

Tables

Table 3-1 Requirements of Electroless Nickel/Immersion Gold Plating 6

Table 3-2 Twelve (12) Repeat Au Measurements and the Mean Value 13

Table 3-3 Example of Phosphorus Content Analysis Over a 4-Quarter Period 15

Table 3-4 Three Examples of XRF Data Sets (µm [µin]) 24

Table 3-5 One More Example of XRF Data (µm [µin]) 25

Table 3-6 Identification of Corrosion Level of a Location 30

Table 3-7 Product Rating based on Corrosion Investigation 34

Table 4-1 Suggested Fabricator Qualification Plan 43

Table 4-2 C=0 Sampling Plan per Lot Size 44

Performance Specification for Electroless Nickel/ Immersion Gold (ENIG) Plating for Printed Boards

1 SCOPE

This performance specification sets requirements for Electroless Nickel/Immersion Gold (ENIG) deposit thicknesses for applications including soldering, wire bonding and as a contact finish. It is intended for use by chemical suppliers, printed board manufacturers, electronics manufacturing services (EMS) and original equipment manufacturers (OEM). This standard may be used to specify acceptance criteria to meet performance requirements in addition to those found in the IPC-6010 series (IPC-6012, IPC-6013 and IPC-6018) of standards. The ENIG deposit specified by using this document will meet the highest coating durability rating as specified in the J-STD-003 printed board solderability specification.

This specification is based on three critical factors:

- 1) The ENIG plating process is in control producing a normal distribution for nickel and gold deposit thickness.
- 2) That the tool used to measure the deposit and therefore control the process is accurate and reproducible for the thickness range specified.
- 3) That the ENIG plating process results in uniform deposit characteristics.

If any of these three critical factors are not met, then the deposit produced will not meet the performance criteria defined herein.

1.1 Purpose This specification sets the requirements specific to ENIG as a surface finish (see Table 3-1 for a summary of these requirements).

1.2 Description ENIG is an electroless nickel layer capped with a thin layer of immersion gold (IAu). It is a multifunctional surface finish, applicable to soldering, aluminum and copper wedge wire bonding, press fit connections, and as a contact surface. The immersion gold layer protects the underlying nickel from oxidation/passivation over its intended life. However, this layer is not impervious and it will not pass the requirements of a “classic” porosity test as defined in ASTM B735 and IPC-TM-650, Methods 2.3.24, 2.3.24.1 and 2.3.24.2.

1.3 Classification IPC standards recognize that electrical and electronic assemblies are subject to classifications by intended end-item use. Three general end-product classes have been established to reflect differences in manufacturability, complexity, functional performance requirements, and verification (inspection/test) frequency. It should be recognized that there may be overlaps of equipment between classes.

CLASS 1 General Electronic Products

Includes products suitable for applications where the major requirement is function of the completed assembly.

CLASS 2 Dedicated Service Electronic Products

Includes products where continued performance and extended life is required, and for which uninterrupted service is desired but not critical. Typically, the end-use environment would not cause failures.

CLASS 3 High Performance/Harsh Environment Electronic Products

Includes products where continued high performance or performance-on-demand is critical, equipment downtime cannot be tolerated, end-use environment may be uncommonly harsh, and the equipment must function when required, such as life support or other critical systems.

1.4 Measurement Units All dimensions and tolerances in this specification are expressed in hard SI (metric) units and bracketed soft imperial [inch] units. Users of this specification are expected to use metric dimensions. All dimensions ≥ 1 mm [0.0394 in] will be expressed in millimeters and inches. All dimensions < 1 mm [0.0394 in] will be expressed in micrometers and microinches.

1.5 Definition of Requirements The words **shall** or **shall not** are used in the text of this document wherever there is a requirement for materials, preparation, process control or acceptance.