



IPC-4556

Specification for Electroless Nickel/ Electroless Palladium/ Immersion Gold (ENEPIG) Plating for Printed Circuit Boards

Developed by the Plating Processes Subcommittee (4-14) of the
Fabrication Processes Committee (4-10) of IPC

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the
development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309S
Bannockburn, Illinois
60015-1249
Tel 847 615.7100
Fax 847 615.7105

Table of Contents

1 SCOPE	1	3.2.5 Use of Non-Statistical Thickness Specification	8
1.1 Statement of Scope	1	3.2.6 Production Overruns and/or Inventory Items	8
1.2 Description	1	3.3 Porosity	8
1.2.1 Electroless Nickel Reducing Agents - Phosphorus Content	1	3.4 Adhesion	8
1.2.2 Electroless Palladium Reducing Agents	1	3.5 Solderability	9
1.3 Objective	1	3.5.1 Force Measurement Testing (Wetting Balance Testing)	9
1.3.1 Order of Precedence	1	3.6 Cleanliness	9
1.3.1.1 Appendices	1	3.7 Electrolytic Corrosion	9
1.4 Performance Functions	1	4 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROVISIONS	9
1.4.1 Solderability	1	4.1 General Quality Assurance Provisions	9
1.4.2 Wire Bonding	2	4.1.1 Qualification Recommendations	9
1.4.3 Contact Surface	2	4.1.2 Sample Test Coupons	10
1.4.3.1 Membrane Switches	2	4.2 Quality Conformance Testing	11
1.4.3.2 Metallic Dome Contacts	2	4.2.1 Thickness Measurements	11
1.4.4 EMI Shielding	2	4.2.2 Qualified Processes	11
1.4.5 Interface for Conductive and/or Anisotropic Adhesives	2	APPENDIX 1 Chemical Definitions and Process Sequence	12
1.4.6 Connectors	2	APPENDIX 2 Round Robin Test Summary	14
1.4.6.1 Press-Fit Applications	2	APPENDIX 3 ENEPIG PWB Surface Finish XRF Round Robin Testing	16
1.4.6.2 Edge Tab Contact Applications	2	APPENDIX 4 Factors Affecting Measurement Accuracy of ENEPIG Coatings by XRF	23
1.4.7 Limitations of ENEPIG	2	APPENDIX 5 ENEPIG PWB Surface Finish Wetting Balance Testing	32
1.4.7.1 Creep Corrosion/Chemical Resistance	2	APPENDIX 6 Solder Spread Testing	40
1.4.7.2 High Frequency Signal Loss	3	APPENDIX 7 ENEPIG PWB Surface Finish Shear Test Project	48
2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS AND TERMS AND DEFINITIONS	3	APPENDIX 8 Gold Wire Bonding	58
2.1 IPC	3	APPENDIX 9 XRF Thickness Measurements of thin Au and Pd (ENEPIG): Recommendations for Instrumentation (Detectors) and their Limitations	64
2.2 American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM International)	3	APPENDIX 10 Gage Capability, Gage R&R Type 1 Study	66
2.3 JEDEC	3	APPENDIX 11 Solderability Testing Helps Select Surface Finishes	69
2.4 Defense Standardization Program	3		
2.5 Telcordia Technologies, Inc.	3		
2.6 International Organization for Standardization (ISO)	3		
2.7 Terms, Definitions and Acronyms	4		
3 REQUIREMENTS	4		
3.1 Visual	5		
3.1.1 High Magnification Reference Images	6		
3.2 Finish Thickness	7		
3.2.1 Electroless Nickel Thickness	7		
3.2.2 Electroless Palladium Thickness	7		
3.2.3 Immersion Gold Thickness	7		
3.2.4 XRF Calibration Standards	8		

Figures		
Figure 3-1	Uniform Plating	5
Figure 3-2	Extraneous Plating or Nickel Foot	5
Figure 3-3	Edge Pull Back	5
Figure 3-4	Skip Plating	5
Figure 3-5	Skip Plating of Gold Over Palladium	6
Figure 3-6	Skip Plating of Gold Over a Palladium Deposit	6
Figure 3-7	1000X SEM Image of a Normal ENEPIG Surface	6
Figure 3-8	4000X SEM Image of a Normal ENEPIG Surface	6
Figure 3-9	2500X FIB Image of a Normal ENEPIG Deposit	6
Figure 3-10	100,000X FIB Image of a Normal ENEPIG Deposit	6
Figure 3-11	TEM Image of a Normal ENEPIG Deposit	7
Figure 3-12	2500X Image of Nickel that is Hyper-Corroded	7
Figure 3-13	The Standard IPC Force Measurement Coupon	9
Figure A3-1	Test Coupon Used for XRF Round Robin Measurements	16
Figure A3-2	Immersion Gold Thickness Readings in Microinches for the Sixteen Test Pads Where C Is the Reference XRF	18
Figure A3-3	Electroless Palladium Thickness Readings in Microinches for the Sixteen Test Pads Where C Is the Reference XRF	19
Figure A3-4	Electroless Nickel Thickness Readings in Microinches for the Sixteen Test Pads Where C Is the Reference XRF	20
Figure A3-5	The Impact of Feature Size on Electroless Palladium and Immersion Gold Deposition Thickness Plated on the Same PB	22
Figure A4-SS1	XRF Spectra of 1/2 oz Cu/Epoxy vs. 1 oz Cu/Epoxy	28
Figure A4-SS2	XRF Spectra of ENEPIG Plated on Cu (No Epoxy) vs. 1/2 oz Cu/Epoxy	29
Figure A4-SS3	XRF Spectra of ENEPIG Plated on Cu (No Epoxy) vs. ENEPIG Plated on 1 oz Cu/Epoxy	30
Figure A4-SS4	XRF Spectrum of ENEPIG Plated on 1 oz Cu/Epoxy	31
Figure A5-1	Example of the Wetting Balance Coupon Used for the Testing of ENEPIG	32
Figure A5-2	XRF Measurements of Gold and Palladium Thicknesses Supplied as Nominal 0.1 micron Electroless Palladium	33
Figure A5-3	XRF Measurements of Gold and Palladium Thicknesses Supplied as Nominal 0.2 micron Electroless Palladium	33
Figure A5-4	XRF Measurements of Gold and Palladium Thicknesses Supplied as Nominal 0.3 micron Electroless Palladium	33
Figure A5-5	Metronlec ST88 Wetting Balance Used for the Testing	33
Figure A5-6	Example of the Wetting Performance for a Nominal 4 μ m Electroless Palladium Tested with SnPb Solder	34
Figure A5-7	Example of the Wetting Performance for a Nominal 8 μ m Electroless Palladium Tested with SnPb Solder	34
Figure A5-8	Example of the Wetting Performance for a Nominal 20 μ m Electroless Palladium Tested with SnPb Solder	35
Figure A5-9	Example of the Wetting Performance for a Nominal 4 μ m Electroless Palladium Tested with SAC305 Solder	35
Figure A5-10	Example of the Wetting Performance for a Nominal 8 μ m Electroless Palladium Tested with SAC305 Solder	36
Figure A5-11	Example of the Wetting Performance for a Nominal 12 μ m Palladium Tested with SAC305 Solder	36
Figure A5-12	Example of a Nominal 4 μ m Electroless Palladium ENEPIG Post Temperature and Humidity Stressing, Tested with SnPb - Showing Excellent Robustness	37
Figure A5-13	Example of a Nominal 4 μ m Electroless Palladium ENEPIG, with Very Thin Immersion Gold after Temperature and Humidity Stressing & Tested with SnPb	38
Figure A5-14	Example of a Nominal 6 μ m ENEPIG Deposit Tested with SAC305 Post Stressing – Showing Excellent Robustness of Deposit	38
Figure A5-15	Performance of Sample Group 20 (with thin gold) with SAC305 Post Stressing	39
Figure A6-1	Layout of Test Board Used for Solder Spread and Wetting Balance Testing	41
Figure A6-2	Solder Spread Pattern and Paste Stencil	41
Figure A6-3	An Actual Solder Spread Result Showing the Deposits Counted for Each Line of the Pattern	42
Figure A6-4	Solder Spread Results Using an ROL1 Sn/Pb/Ag Solder Paste on ENEPIG Deposits	43
Figure A6-5	Solder Spread Results Using an ROL0 Pb-free Solder Paste of the SAC 300 Family on ENEPIG Deposits	44
Figure A6-6	Solder Spread for Lowest Spread ENEPIG Sample Showing Evidence of High Contact Angle and Dewetting for Some Areas of the Printed Board	45
Figure A6-7	Solder Spread Results for Pb-free Solder Paste as a Function of the EN Layer Thickness in the ENEPIG Deposit	46
Figure A7-1	Shear Test Coupon	48
Figure A7-2	DAGE 5000 Shear Test System	49
Figure A7-3	Solderball Shear Results	49
Figure A7-4	Sn63Pb37 Test Coupon 19	52
Figure A7-5	Sn63Pb37 Test Coupons 1 & 2	52
Figure A7-6	Sn63Pb37 Test Coupons 3 & 4	52

Figure A7-7	Sn63Pb37 Test Coupons 5 & 6	53	Table 4-2	C=0 Sampling Plan (Sample Size for Specific Index Value ¹)	11
Figure A7-8	Sn63Pb37 Test Coupons 7 & 8	53	Table A3-1	Comparison of the Accuracy of Measurements Relative to the C Readings for All Three Deposits	21
Figure A7-9	Sn63Pb37 Test Coupon 18	53	Table A4-1	Summary of XRF Configuration Solutions Offered for Measurement of ENEPIG Plating on PCB's with Advantages and Disadvantages	27
Figure A7-10	Sn63Pb37 Test Coupon 19	54	Table A5-1	XRF Measurements of the Nominal 0.5 micron Electroless Palladium Samples	32
Figure A7-11	SAC305 Test Coupons 1 & 2	54	Table A6-S1	Preconditioning and Solder Paste Matrix Used for Solder Spread Testing for a Given ENEPIG Chemistry and Electroless Palladium Thickness	40
Figure A7-12	SAC305 Test Coupons 3 & 4	54	Table A7-1	Test Coupon Serial Number and Palladium Thickness	48
Figure A7-13	SAC305 Test Coupons 5 & 6	55	Table A7-2	Sn63Pb37 Solderball Shear Test Results Coupon Set 1 (grams)	50
Figure A7-14	SAC305 Test Coupons 16 & 17	55	Table A7-3	Sn63Pb37 Solderball Shear Test Results Coupon Set 2 (grams)	50
Figure A7-15	SAC305 Test Coupon 18	55	Table A7-4	SAC305 Solderball Shear Test Results Coupon Set 1 (grams)	51
Figure A7-16	SAC305 Test Coupon 19	56	Table A7-5	SAC305 Solderball Shear Test Results Coupon Set 2 (grams)	51
Figure A7-17	Solderball Shear Force as a Function of Palladium Plating Thickness	56	Table A8-I	Twenty-one Panels Marked (a) Whole Panel, (b) Array and (c) Hand Cut	59
Figure A8-1	Wire Bond Test Vehicle Showing pin #1, quadrants 1, 2, 3 and 4	58	Table A8-II	Destructive Wire Bond Pull Test Force (grams) Results for All 21 ENEPIG Test Groups	60
Figure A8-2	Example of 6 in x 8 in Panel Containing 1 in x 1in ENEPIG Wire Bond Coupons	60	Table A8-III	Summary of Plating Finish Thicknesses for Samples Wire Bonded	63
Figure A8-3	Visual Evaluation of Wire Bonding Showing Classical Crescents Resulting in Neck Breaks	61	Table A9-1	XRF Detectors and Their Limitations at Typical Count Rates	64
Figure A8-4	(Top) Summary of 1 mil Gold Wire ENEPIG Destructive Pull Test (DPT) Results and (Lower) Comparison of X-Y Directional DPT Values	62	Table A11-1	"Relative Wettability Guideline," Using Contact Angle (θ_c) As "General" Metric	70
Figure A11-1a	Meniscometer	70			
Figure A11-1b	Wetting Balance	70			
Figure A11-2	ENEPIG with Thin and Thick Pd from Vendors 1 & 2	71			
Figure A11-3	Wetting Rate as a Function of Battelle Class 2 Aging	72			
Tables					
Table 3-1	Requirements of Electroless Nickel Electroless Palladium Immersion Gold (ENEPIG) Plating	4			
Table 4-1	Suggested Fabricator Qualification Plan	10			

Specification for Electroless Nickel/Electroless Palladium/ Immersion Gold (ENEPIG) Plating for Printed Circuit Boards

1 SCOPE

1.1 Statement of Scope This specification sets the requirements for the use of Electroless Nickel/Electroless Palladium/Immersion Gold (ENEPIG) as a surface finish for printed boards. This specification sets requirements for ENEPIG deposit thicknesses for applications including soldering, wire bonding and as a contact finish. It is intended for use by chemical suppliers, printed board manufacturers, electronics manufacturing services (EMS) and original equipment manufacturers (OEM).

1.2 Description ENEPIG is a tertiary layered surface finish plated over copper as the basis metal. ENEPIG consists of an electroless nickel base layer over which is plated an electroless palladium barrier layer followed by a deposit of a thin immersion gold as the final outer layer. For deposition process details, see APPENDIX 1 of this specification. It is a multi-functional surface finish, applicable to soldering and to gold, aluminum and copper wire bonding. It is also suitable as the mating surface for soft membrane and steel dome contacts. Additional applications include use in Low Insertion Force (LIF) and Zero Insertion Force (ZIF) edge connectors and for press-fit applications. The electroless palladium layer forms a diffusion barrier that impedes nickel diffusion to the gold surface. The immersion gold protects the palladium layer from reacting with contaminants prior to processing that might otherwise affect joining processes, such as wire bonding and soldering.

1.2.1 Electroless Nickel Reducing Agents - Phosphorus Content Phosphorus-containing, reducing agents are typically used for the reduction of the electroless nickel during the deposition process and phosphorus is incorporated in the nickel deposit. The level of this co-deposited element should be controlled within the suppliers specified process limits. Variation of phosphorus levels outside the specified process limits may have adverse effects on the performance of the finish.

1.2.2 Electroless Palladium Reducing Agents There are two distinct classes of reducing agents used in electroless palladium baths currently available for use in the ENEPIG process, those that produce deposits that contain a co-deposited element such as phosphorus, and those that produce an essentially pure palladium deposit. The level of the co-deposited elements should be controlled within the specified process limits. Examples of electroless palladium deposit from both these classes have been evaluated during the development of this specification and no perceivable differences in performance were observed in the tests of solderability or wire bondability

1.3 Objective This specification sets the requirements for ENEPIG as a surface finish (see Table 3-1 for a summary of these requirements). As additional surface finishes require specifications, they will be addressed by the IPC Plating Processes Subcommittee as part of the IPC-455X specification family. This and other surface finish specifications are under continuous review. The 4-14 subcommittee will make appropriate amendments or revisions to these documents as required. The 4-14 Plating Processes Subcommittee undertook a “Round Robin” study to generate data to support the recommendations cited for the various aspects of this specification. For an outline of the study, refer to APPENDIX 2.

1.3.1 Order of Precedence In the event of conflict, the following order of precedence **shall** apply:

1. The purchase order. This includes AABUS exceptions to this specification.
2. The master drawing. This includes AABUS exceptions to this specification.
3. This specification.
4. Applicable documents as detailed in Section 2 of this document.

1.3.1.1 Appendices This specification contains eleven Appendices provided for information which are included after the main body of this document. Be aware that none of the content of these appendices are binding requirements unless separately and specifically specified herein, or as required by purchase order, master drawing, other applicable documents, or as established AABUS.

1.4 Performance Functions

1.4.1 Solderability One of the two primary functions of ENEPIG is to provide a solderable surface finish capable of providing IPC Category 3 shelf life (minimum 12 months) per IPC-J-STD-003 testing. This shelf life is suitable for all surface mount, hybrid and through-hole assembly applications. The use of electroless palladium as a diffusion barrier between the